

Re: Subject source (sauce) for kooks..

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-02/1043.html>

From: Tom McDonald (tmcdonald2672_at_nohormelcharter.net)

Date: 02/13/05

Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2005 00:10:12 -0600

Philip Deitiker wrote:

> "george" <gblack@hnpl.net> says in
> news:1108264593.830608.204130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>> <http://www.archaeologyanswers.com/>
>
>
> Watch the pop-up. I did a 'end process' on explorer.
>

I only got one. Firefox rules!

I was most impressed with an article about a Keyhole satellite finding the Red Sea crossing. One of the accurate, precise, impressive details was this:

"Alarmed by ominous signs of another invasion by the Iraqi strongman, the United States responds by deploying its elite *32nd* Airborne Division to Saudi Arabia."

While I have great affection for the 32nd, formed originally as it was out of Wisconsin (and a few Michigan) units (including the Iron Brigade of Civil War fame), they never intentionally leapt out of perfectly good aircraft.

The *82nd* Airborne Division, however, is alive and well, and living as part of the ready response element of the US Army.

Now an '8' can be misread as a '3', and typos happen. But if one is to overthrow the accumulated archaeological knowledge of the world, one might wish to cast a preempt, though not automatically acceptory, eye over the text in which the revolution is delivered.

And saying that an A-10 Warthog has a 30 cal. 'gauttling' gun is just, well, funny.

sci.archaeology: Re: Subject source (sauce) for kooks..

--

Tom McDonald

<http://ahwhatdoiknow.blogspot.com/>