

Re: Related languages (Re: A China–Sumer connection)

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005–03/1539.html>

From: António Marques (*m.ap_at_sapo.pt*)

Date: 03/09/05

Date: Wed, 09 Mar 2005 21:21:43 +0000

On 09–03–2005 12:15, Comm scived:

- > *Imo, Chinese have hated Koreans for a long time. Therefore their*
- > *language has to be UNrelated. Yeah, LOL. Imo, the misplaced pride*
- > *of the Anglo Saxonists would require them to dismiss that BIG*
- > *influence of Frech – don't you know the French are decadent? Again,*
- > *LOL. I see agendas.*

And you might see indigo elephants.

Chinese and Tibetan ARE related. There's one for the way. French and English also. Through PIE. Modern French and English share a lot of related vocabulary through Norman.

- > *Israeli – and we know they were conquered in history. Bulgarians*
- > *speak Slavic language now – and they even think they ARE Slavs. They*
- > *are TURKS!*

Please explain why are they not Thracians.