

# Re: The Vinland Map's Ink

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-04/msg00847.html>

---

- *From:* "I.E. Johansson" <[I.johansson@xxxxxxxx](mailto:I.johansson@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 30 Apr 2005 08:58:56 GMT
- 

Sorry I sent my answer before I written any comment. Below please find my comment./IEJ

<nosпам@xx> skrev i meddelandet [news:q3f6719lbmphf5rqgvvndt2rc3vhr1vqbc@xxxxxxxx](mailto:news:q3f6719lbmphf5rqgvvndt2rc3vhr1vqbc@xxxxxxxx)

> Apparently on date Sat, 30 Apr 2005 16:00:44 +1200, Eric Stevens

> <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx)> said:

>

>>On 29 Apr 2005 18:14:45 -0700, "Ken Towe" <[ken.towe@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:ken.towe@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>

>>wrote:

>>

>>>Mr. Stevens:

>>>

>>>I'm truly sorry that you have concluded that I have resorted to ad

>>>hominems. I hope I have not. If you will reread what I wrote you will

>>>see that I asked you a question...essentially wouldn't one be justified

>>>in drawing such a conclusion from your reluctance to provide answers

>>>one way or the other.

>>

>>I did not appreciate you referring to me as a 'gad fly'. I

>>particularly did not appreciate it when the only reason I keep

>>responding in this thread is that you pose me yet another question.

>

> No, Ken's "gad fly" is probably an accurate description of your approach

in

> this debate. "Persistent, irritating critic" sums it up very nicely.

>

> You contribute no information to the debate but want to speak for the

group in

> rejecting any evidence that weakens the case for the VM to be authentic.

What's

> most irritating about your approach is that you reject the case on the

strength

> that it isn't absolute proof of the matter – which is inevitably true of

all

> archaeological evidence ever dug up throughout the world. No matter what

the

> quality of the evidence, it's always "not proven" in the Steven's

Courtroom.

## Re: The Vinland Map's Ink

>

> But when Inger produces some incoherent babble that she claims proves there can

> be no more doubts, now or ever, in the authenticity of the VM, where is the

> stern test of unquestionable evidence? Strangely absent.

Sorry but that's not what I claim. I claim two things, the first I have sent you information and ref to many times over by now and I will return to it in Greenland, Vinland and Vinland map part 5 – the article as soon as the present discussion has finished, the second I claim from logic grounds:

1. There was a very good reason why a map containing exact what's on VM was drawn in 1430's from the map which in discussions been called Nicholas of Thingeyre's map. The later and the former were kept together in Villa Medici in 1939 and the history behind them are well known.

2. None of the so called evidence against VM has the validity to be called proof.

That's said I like to add that I am personally not sure that all texts on VM were written when it was drawn.

Nor am I sure that the 1430's map and VM are one and the same – but I am 100% sure that all the so called evidence presented against it aren't able to be used as proof one way or an other.

Inger E

.

---

### • *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**  
◇ From: Doug Weller

### • *References:*

- ◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**  
◇ From: Ken Towe
- ◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**  
◇ From: Ken Towe
- ◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**  
◇ From: Ken Towe
- ◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens

Re: The Vinland Map's Ink

◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**

◇ From: Ken Towe

◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**

◇ From: Eric Stevens

◆ **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**

◇ From: nospam

- Prev by Date: **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**
- Next by Date: **Re: More anatase myth killing. Re: More anatase**
- Previous by thread: **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**
- Next by thread: **Re: The Vinland Map's Ink**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **Date**
  - ◆ **Thread**