

## Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-05/msg00410.html>

---

- *From:* Philip Deitiker <[Donevenask@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Donevenask@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 27 May 2005 12:57:08 GMT
- 

Paul Burke <[paul@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:paul@xxxxxxxxxxx)> says in [news:3fo1m5F8p95bU1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:3fo1m5F8p95bU1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx):

> kenney@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:  
>  
>> I can't say I am greatly interested in the subject and I am  
>> not  
>> impressed with the way the obsession with Scandinavians has  
>> driven out genuine archeological subjects.  
>  
> I have a go at this at least once a year. The sad truth is that  
> some of the sane end enjoy goading the obsessives into yet more  
> contorted defences of their ideas, just for the joy of seeing  
> what they will come up with next. Their pleading is something  
> REALLY special.  
>  
> If I had my way, all discussions of that American object should  
> be conducted in Swedish on the ng krs.krs.krs.krs, that thing  
> with wormholes in Latin on alt.vatican.archive.ink, the  
> Mediterranean circular object on  
> alt.phaistos.disk.wheres.something.else.to.test.our.theses.agains  
> t, and another ng should be set up for a certain atrological  
> adept to answer his own posts.  
>  
> The few posts remaining just MIGHT contain something worth  
> wasting skiving time on.

The problem with this group is that few people take the time to apply peer pressure to those who generate off-topic threads. The bigger problem in responding to the mdm is not refuting her, but confronting her pollutive crossposting. People who create threads that are off-topic constantly are killfiled. To date I have never heard anyone in this group mention its charter, or use it charter to motivate another to stop posting.

We(I with the help of a few others) managed to clean up s.a.p. a great deal by getting members of a few other groups to realize that unabashed responses to kooks was as pollutive as the kooks post. Since we got the help of t.o. moderator s.a.p. has been as clean as a

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

whistle since (except one episode where a regular erred in his critique of t.o.).

Aside from killfiles, the absolutely most affective way to get rid of nonsense posters is get everyone to agree that on replies, newsgroups will be shaved to one, for example, ego aside, follow up to only one group, and a group you don't read. If you guys would do this you would divert the traffic elsewhere and force the mdm to read and respond in the other group. Kooks hate having followup groups trimmed, that is the modality of propogation.

--

Philip

-----  
\_\_\_\_Groups\_\_\_\_

Mol Anthro <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/DNAanthro/>

Pal Anthro <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Paleoanthro/>

Arch. Aux <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/sciarchauxilliary/>

Gliadin Sci <http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/GliadinScience/>

\_\_\_\_Sites\_\_\_\_

Mol. Evol. Hominids <http://home.att.net/~DNAPaleoAnth/>

Evol. of Xchrom. <http://home.att.net/~DNAPaleoAnth/xlinked.htm>

.

---

• **References:**

- ◆ ***Re: Wolter claims secret code hidden in Kensington Runestone***

◇ From: kenney

- ◆ ***Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)***

◇ From: Paul Burke

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Old stone axe***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Wolter claims secret code hidden in Kensington Runestone***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***