

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-05/msg00535.html>

- *From:* "Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 29 May 2005 14:41:41 +0200
-

IEJ wrote: 5khme.138725\$dP1.488848@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx,

"Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxxxx> skrev i meddelandet
[news:42999951\\$0\\$35942\\$dbd4d001@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:42999951$0$35942$dbd4d001@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Eric Stevens wrote:
2juh91dlhhuq9fbf9gb0016t9l0k0saljt@xxxxxxxx,

"Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Eric Stevens wrote:

You have frequently accused Inger of racism and have linked other people into this kind of accusation when they do not immediately and violently reject the idea of a pre-Columbian Norse presence in NA. I would be careful before you continue to level accusations of racism in this matter. In particular, I would first remove the quite large chip from your not entirely German shoulder.

I'm sorry to have to say this to you but you have been far too effective a cheer-leader in this matter and you must realise that accusations of bias on racial grounds cut both ways.

Where is or was Philip promoting the suggested legacy?
He is not even promoting his own country.

Please say sorry, and not 'sorry to have to say it',
but a straight 'sorry I said that'.

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

I'm sorry but you won't get an apology for what I replied to Philip. Philip has told us in the past that his ancestry is mixed German and Native American. It is the latter I suspect that makes him so defensive of any attempt to suggest that the Norse may have played any part in the pre-Columbian history of NA beyond that confirmed by L'anse aux Meadows.

In the past he has variously labelled me and Michael Zalar as pushing racist theories but I have let that go. He has called Inger a racist in the past on the grounds of her theories and opinions as to the ancient activities of the Norse. I don't know about you but I don't think that they on their own justify such a claim.

That Philip's arguments are themselves racist in nature is indicated in the article to which I responded, by the way in which he stacked up the claims of this race/country against that race/country and culminated with the statement that "A person in a far off land cannot lay claim to the sweat of someone else's brow, rhetoric will also not add to the claimant's spirit or take away from that already come to pass. An ancient sled dog on a sled team strolling across the Canadian ice deserves more credit for the discovery of American than 'your' Sweden".

It doesn't seem to me that Inger was trying to say that the Norse were superior to the Native Americans. Rightly or wrongly, she is merely trying to say that they were there. That Philip's rejection of her has little to do with facts is indicated when he wrote "I said about cryptoracism and ethnocentrism. We were looking for a motivation and we seem to have found it."

I don't think repeated use of such arguments has any place in this news group.

Eric,

In the first place, what made me real sick and angry was your

"In particular, I would first remove the quite large chip from your not entirely German shoulder."

In my eyes and ears that is a despicable racist remark, or at least a remark from a racist.

I still think that cries for an excuse to Philip, even if he is not asking for it.

In the second place, to answer your question:

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

I agree with Philip's -in my eyes- sincere arguments and conclusions. I see no reason to blame him for that; and let me tell you that my racist sensitive nerves are very weak.

I disagree with Philip that we seem to have found the motivation where we are looking for. In my eyes that was clear long ago.

In Inger's case that is no big deal for me because I regard her as a socially disturbed pitifull scrap of humanity, totaly out of sync with reality and blind and deaf for the opinions and feelings of other people. I don't call Inger a racist, but a nationalist with a inferiority complex is always in danger of beeing called a racist.

What realy worries me is that she is allowed to teach.

--
- Peter Alaca - - - - -

Peter,
you have lowered yourself to a true non-scholarly view point. Sad case. As a scholar you aren't allowed to judge arguments from personal opinion about the messenger or what your personal views believe to be the reason behind the message. That's absolutly forbidden by anyone who wants to be called a scholar with scholarly behavior. You have to address the arguments and premisses, NEVER EVER the messenger no matter what your subjective view say!!!!

Good Night. You showed off as a person not capable to deal with analyses of artifacts, documents at all if they aren't along the road you chosed to walk on.

Inger E

And where are YOUR arguments?

--
- Peter Alaca - - - - -