

# Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-05/msg00611.html>

---

- *From:* Eric Stevens <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 31 May 2005 11:40:07 +1200
- 

On Mon, 30 May 2005 22:11:44 GMT, "IEJ" <[Iejohansson@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Iejohansson@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

>  
>"Eric Stevens" <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx)> skrev i meddelandet  
>[news:32vm91lh0n6btaui52str87javcgg1k93v@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:32vm91lh0n6btaui52str87javcgg1k93v@xxxxxxxxxx)  
>> On Mon, 30 May 2005 10:49:38 GMT, "IEJ" <[Iejohansson@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Iejohansson@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:  
>>  
>>>  
>>>"Eric Stevens" <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx)> skrev i meddelandet  
>>>>[news:gpll91t2rmi6uu58m6p8j1chjmqeds0vdt@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:gpll91t2rmi6uu58m6p8j1chjmqeds0vdt@xxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>> On Mon, 30 May 2005 07:09:11 GMT, "IEJ" <[Iejohansson@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Iejohansson@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>"Eric Stevens" <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx)> skrev i meddelandet  
>>>>>>>[news:o13l91de6bmbblvglsfserj9al7fpp46m9@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:o13l91de6bmbblvglsfserj9al7fpp46m9@xxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>>>>> On Mon, 30 May 2005 02:27:24 GMT, Philip Deitiker  
>>>>>>>><[Donevenask@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Donevenask@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Eric Stevens <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx)> says in  
>>>>>>>>>>[news:cpqk919grm7rpgsshetksmghvqlan08ogu@xxxxxxx](mailto:news:cpqk919grm7rpgsshetksmghvqlan08ogu@xxxxxxx):  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>There are people and there are times. She is arguing Norwegian  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>and Swede after 1350, not Norse during the 11th century.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You seem to be equating Norse with Viking. They are not the same  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thing.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The Viking period ended at the end of the 10th century. The  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>greenlanders were christianized thereafter and would have been  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Norse.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The swedish state with recognized borders did not begin until 1323,  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>however a partial definition was in place by the 12th century.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Prior  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>to that people would have been informally called Swedes if they  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>were  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>from eastern trading portion of the viking world, prior to 1323  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>there  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>was a contested claim over finland, so at that time the Swedish

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

>state  
>>>>> >would have been Swedo-finnic.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> All of which is correct.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> >Not at all correct. Sweden's borders were recognised in a tract  
>between  
>>>>> >Sweden, Denmark and Norway in early 11th century. Officially the  
>>>>> >consensus  
>>>>> >refer to the 12th century meeting in Kongahälla 1101, but there are  
>>>>> >fragments left of the earlier meeting which was held in Olof  
>Skötkongungs  
>>>>> >days. Sweden as a country is documented long before that. Unfortunately  
>>>>> >for  
>>>>> >the Icelandic Sagas which tried/tries to make believe that it started  
>in  
>>>>> >Old  
>>>>> >Uppsala there are documents older than the mythical Bråvallaslaget  
>which  
>>>>> >confirms that there was a type of union between the Goths living in  
>>>>> >Wisigothia and Ostrogothia on one hand and the group living around  
>>>>> >Aros(Västerås, Västmanland) in Migration Age whenever enemies were  
>>>>> >attacking. The oldest mentioning of Sweden as a country goes back  
>before  
>>>>> >migration age and stipulates that it starts on the eastern shore of  
>>>>> >Wigotha  
>>>>> >Elf.....  
>>>>>  
>>>>> > They all come under the umbrella term  
>>>>>> 'norse'. See <http://www.thefreedictionary.com/Norse>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> >Not in Medieval Age. That's a later fabricated word which assumes that  
>>>>> >the  
>>>>> >Roman Historians meant the same with the Latin words they used for  
>>>>> >'Nordmen'  
>>>>> >and people living in the Nordic countries.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> >You will have to argue with the dictionary. It says " Of or relating  
>>>>> >to medieval Scandinavia or its peoples, languages, or cultures."  
>>>>>  
>>>>> >English Dictionary I presume, not Scandinavian ones. There is a distinct  
>>>>> >difference from Migration Age up between the different Scandinavian  
>groups  
>>>>> >and countries.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> >Inger, we are all writing in English. I'm using english words with  
>>>>> >english meanings.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> >In Medieval Age up to 1390's there were a clear distinction between  
>the

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

>> >> >three Nordic countries: Sweden, Norway and Denmark. Finland belonged  
>to  
>> >> >Sweden as it done most of the time since Hermaneric's days.(Roman and  
>> >East  
>> >> >European sources up to 1115 AD) What's also forgotten is that the real  
>> >Kvens  
>> >> >up to around same period were in majority north of a line from Gävle  
>to  
>> >> >Bergen.  
>> >>  
>> >> But what are Nordic countries if not Norse?  
>> >  
>> >Norse is your way of placing four eggs that used to be three eggs under  
>one  
>> >and the same hat. But we aren't in a hat. There are and have always been  
>> >very strong borders between our different groups. Skane has belonged to  
>> >Sweden since mid 1600's but many Skåningar as well as many here doesn't  
>look  
>> >upon them as Swedes.  
>>  
>> But in the english world we tend to look on them all as norse.  
>  
>Well what I was trying to tell you in the English speaking world was that  
>you use this in a wrong way. It's like saying that NZ was Aussie.  
>

Its like talking about Australasians.

Eric Stevens

---

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)**  
◇ From: IEJ

• *References:*

- ◆ **Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)**  
◇ From: Philip Deitiker
- ◆ **Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)**  
◇ From: Philip Deitiker
- ◆ **Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

- ◆ [Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)
  - ◇ From: IEJ
- ◆ [Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)
  - ◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ [Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)
  - ◇ From: IEJ
- ◆ [Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)
  - ◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ [Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)
  - ◇ From: IEJ

- Prev by Date: [Re: Kensington runestone in the Scandinavian press](#)
- Next by Date: [Unknown native code outside VM found !](#)
- Previous by thread: [Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)
- Next by thread: [Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)
- Index(es):
  - ◆ [Date](#)
  - ◆ [Thread](#)