

# Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-05/msg00636.html>

---

- *From:* "IEJ" <[lejhansson@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:lejhansson@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 31 May 2005 07:23:27 GMT
- 

"Eric Stevens" <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx)> skrev i meddelandet  
[news:n19n9191qa6i8bohtvigvo0icrsul4tot3@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:n19n9191qa6i8bohtvigvo0icrsul4tot3@xxxxxxxxxx)  
> On Mon, 30 May 2005 22:11:44 GMT, "IEJ" <[lejhansson@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:lejhansson@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:  
>  
>>  
>>"Eric Stevens" <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx)> skrev i meddelandet  
>>[news:32vm91lh0n6btaui52str87javcgg1k93v@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:32vm91lh0n6btaui52str87javcgg1k93v@xxxxxxxxxx)  
>>> On Mon, 30 May 2005 10:49:38 GMT, "IEJ" <[lejhansson@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:lejhansson@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>"Eric Stevens" <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx)> skrev i meddelandet  
>>>>[news:gpl191t2rmi6uu58m6p8j1chjmqeds0vdt@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:gpl191t2rmi6uu58m6p8j1chjmqeds0vdt@xxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>> On Mon, 30 May 2005 07:09:11 GMT, "IEJ" <[lejhansson@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:lejhansson@xxxxxxxxxx)>  
wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>"Eric Stevens" <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx)> skrev i meddelandet  
>>>>>>[news:o13191de6bmmblvglsfserj9al7fpp46m9@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:o13191de6bmmblvglsfserj9al7fpp46m9@xxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>>>> On Mon, 30 May 2005 02:27:24 GMT, Philip Deitiker  
>>>>>>><[Donevenask@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Donevenask@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>Eric Stevens <[eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx)> says in  
>>>>>>>>[news:cpqk919grm7rpgsshetsmghvqlan08ogu@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:cpqk919grm7rpgsshetsmghvqlan08ogu@xxxxxxxxxx):  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>There are people and there are times. She is arguing Norwegian  
>>>>>>>>>>and Swede after 1350, not Norse during the 11th century.  
>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>> You seem to be equating Norse with Viking. They are not the  
same  
>>>>>>>>>>>> thing.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The Viking period ended at the end of the 10th century. The  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>greenlanders were christianized thereafter and would have been  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Norse.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The swedish state with recognized borders did not begin until  
1323,  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>however a partial definition was in place by the 12th century.

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

>>Prior  
>>>>>>to that people would have been informally called Swedes if they  
>>were  
>>>>>>from eastern trading portion of the viking world, prior to 1323  
>>there  
>>>>>>was a contested claim over finland, so at that time the Swedish  
>>state  
>>>>>>would have been Swedo-finnic.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>All of which is correct.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Not at all correct. Sweden's borders were recognised in a tract  
>>between  
>>>>>>Sweden, Denmark and Norway in early 11th century. Officially the  
>>>consensus  
>>>>>>refer to the 12th century meeting in Kongahälla 1101, but there are  
>>>>>>fragments left of the earlier meeting which was held in Olof  
>>Skötkongungs  
>>>>>>days. Sweden as a country is documented long before that.  
Unfortunately  
>>>>for  
>>>>>>the Icelandic Sagas which tried/tries to make believe that it  
started  
>>in  
>>>>Old  
>>>>>>Uppsala there are documents older than the mythical Bråvallaslaget  
>>which  
>>>>>>confirms that there was a type of union between the Goths living in  
>>>>>>Wisigothia and Ostrogothia on one hand and the group living around  
>>>>>>Aros(Västerås, Västmanland) in Migration Age whenever enemies were  
>>>>>>attacking. The oldest mentioning of Sweden as a country goes back  
>>before  
>>>>>>migration age and stipulates that it starts on the eastern shore of  
>>>>Wigotha  
>>>>>>Elf.....  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>They all come under the umbrella term  
>>>>>>'norse'. See <http://www.thefreedictionary.com/Norse>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Not in Medieval Age. That's a later fabricated word which assumes  
that  
>>>>the  
>>>>>>Roman Historians meant the same with the Latin words they used for  
>>>>'Nordmen'  
>>>>>>and people living in the Nordic countries.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>You will have to argue with the dictionary. It says " Of or relating  
>>>>>>to medieval Scandinavia or its peoples, languages, or cultures."  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>English Dictionary I presume, not Scandinavian ones. There is a  
distinct

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

> >> >difference from Migration Age up between the different Scandinavian  
> >groups  
> >> >and countries.  
> >>  
> >> Inger, we are all writing in English. I'm using english words with  
> >> english meanings.  
> >>  
> >> >> >  
> >> >> >In Medieval Age up to 1390's there were a clear distinction between  
> >the  
> >> >> >three Nordic countries: Sweden, Norway and Denmark. Finland  
belonged  
> >to  
> >> >> >Sweden as it done most of the time since Hermaneric's days.(Roman  
and  
> >> >East  
> >> >> >European sources up to 1115 AD) What's also forgotten is that the  
real  
> >> >Kvens  
> >> >> >up to around same period were in majority north of a line from  
Gävle  
> >to  
> >> >> >Bergen.  
> >> >>  
> >> >> But what are Nordic countries if not Norse?  
> >> >  
> >> >Norse is your way of placing four eggs that used to be three eggs  
under  
> >one  
> >> >and the same hat. But we aren't in a hat. There are and have always  
been  
> >> >very strong borders between our different groups. Skane has belonged  
to  
> >> >Sweden since mid 1600's but many Skåningar as well as many here  
doesn't  
> >look  
> >> >upon them as Swedes.  
> >>  
> >> But in the english world we tend to look on them all as norse.  
> >  
> >>Well what I was trying to tell you in the English speaking world was that  
> >you use this in a wrong way. It's like saying that NZ was Aussie.  
> >  
>  
> Its like talking about Australasians.

I guess you would LOVE to be called that. Wouldn't you?? :-)

Inger E

>  
>  
>

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

Re: Why only crap in this NG? (was Wolter claims ...)

> Eric Stevens  
>

---

• **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens

• **References:**

- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: Philip Deitiker
- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: Philip Deitiker
- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: IEJ
- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: IEJ
- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: IEJ
- ◆ **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**  
◇ From: Eric Stevens

- Prev by Date: **[Re: Kensington runestone in the Scandinavian press](#)**
- Next by Date: **[Re: Kensington runestone in the Scandinavian press](#)**
- Previous by thread: **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**
- Next by thread: **[Re: Why only crap in this NG? \(was Wolter claims ...\)](#)**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **[Date](#)**
  - ◆ **[Thread](#)**