

Re: Some of the Logic of KRS discussion

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-06/msg00677.html>

- *From:* Eric Stevens <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 10 Jun 2005 09:25:17 +1200
-

On Thu, 09 Jun 2005 13:41:22 GMT, Philip Deitiker
<Donevenask@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>Rather that argue around in circles with people who want to debate
>trivia rather than what constitutes a good argument I have decided to
>set this aside.

It's been pretty obvious that this is all you have wanted to do from
the moment you first heard of the KRS.

----- snip -----

Eric Stevens

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Some of the Logic of KRS discussion***
 ◇ *From:* Philip Deitiker
 - ◆ ***Re: Some of the Logic of KRS discussion***
 ◇ *From:* Alaca
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***Some of the Logic of KRS discussion***
 ◇ *From:* Philip Deitiker
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Kensington runestone in the Scandinavian press***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Kensington runestone in the Scandinavian press***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Some of the Logic of KRS discussion***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Some of the Logic of KRS discussion***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***