

Re: Kensington runestone in the Scandinavian press

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-06/msg01042.html>

- *From:* Eric Stevens <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 14 Jun 2005 09:23:39 +1200
-

On Mon, 13 Jun 2005 10:35:30 GMT, "cgjt" <cgjt@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>
>"Tom McDonald" <tmcDonald2672@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> skrev i meddelandet
>[news:166re.19943\\$mZ2.16784@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:166re.19943$mZ2.16784@xxxxxxxxxx)
>
>
>snip
>
>>
>> BTW, I commiserate about the price of gas. Here in Wisconsin,
>> we're now paying about \$2.15 per gallon. <ducks and runs :-)>
>
>You do know about Swedish tax ?
>We pay about \$5,5 / gallon nowadays.
>
>>
>
>snip
>
>> FWIW, the French missionaries that came to Wisconsin early on
>> used large birch bark canoes to get around. A couple of such
>> canoes would have been just the ticket for a notional KRS party
>> in western Minnesota. I wonder whether cultural differences
>> between the midaeval Norse and the 17th century French might have
>> inclined one group to accept native watercraft and the other to
>> reject them?
>>
>
>If stubbornness among the scandinavians can be called cultural,
>I would say absolutely. They might even been afraid to
>set out in such a delicate construction.

I wouldn't think so. After making allowance for scale a birch bark canoe is about as robust as many a long boat.

>
>
>>>

Re: Kensington runestone in the Scandinavian press

>> > If there is two clinker built boats in a museum I trust that
>> > the museum knows what it is they have.
>>
>> And I would like to know about the museum, to ask them directly.
>> Inger hasn't deigned to tell us, and I must have missed it if
>> anyone else did tell us. Aside from tk's mention of two dugouts
>> in an Indiana museum, that is.
>>
>
>Yes sorry me confused in a confused thread.
>
>>
>>
>> > There