

Re: KRS: Final thoughts

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-06/msg01897.html>

- *From:* Eric Stevens <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 29 Jun 2005 21:00:54 +1200
-

On Wed, 29 Jun 2005 08:38:55 +0200, Erik Hammerstad <egeha.is.all.you.need@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>cgjt wrote:

>> "Erik Hammerstad" <egeha.is.all.you.need@xxxxxxxxx> skrev i

>> meddelandet news:3ic9joFipe1uU1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

>>

>> snip

>>

>> By the way

>>

>>>professor Sköld notes that the use of the double dots in

>>

>> the runes

>>

>>>dates them to not earlier than the 18th century, as that's

>>

>> when

>>

>>>the double dots in the ö (oe) and the ä (ae) first

>>

>> appeared in

>>

>>>Swedish printing.

>>>

>>

>>

>>

>> Does anybody know more about DS 2268

>> <http://fmpro.ra.se/ra/medeltid/pdf/3045.pdf>

>>

>>

>>

>>>Interestingly, Tryggve Sköld is continuing his

>>

>> investigation of

>>

>>>the KRS language, see (in Swedish, sorry)

>>><http://www2.sofi.se/daum/katta/katta15/katta15.pdf>.

Re: KRS: Final thoughts

>>
>> Assuming the
>>
>>>text to be modern he is making a case for its author being
>>
>> a
>>
>>>Norwegian from Northern Østerdalen, possibly with some
>>>modifications being made by a Swedish carver from Dalarna.
>>
>> This
>>
>>>for someone interested in pointing to possible
>>
>> perpetrators (Sköld
>>
>>>agrees it was not Ohman).
>>>
>>
>>
>> Prof. Sköld seems to be unaware of the early use of 'h' in
>> 'ahr'
>> and the spelling of day as 'dagh'. He has an obvious bias.
>>
>> From 'Svenskt diplomatariums huvudkartotek över
>> medeltidsbrev' at;
>>
>> <http://62.20.57.212/ra/medeltid/soksida.html>
>>
>> The numbers are...
>&