

Re: KRS: Final thoughts

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-06/msg01898.html>

- *From:* "IEJ" <I_ejohansson@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 29 Jun 2005 09:35:02 GMT
-

"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx> skrev i meddelandet news:nmo4c11basbjsug9muqp4abrb446k1dfdb@xxxxxxxxxx
> On Wed, 29 Jun 2005 08:38:55 +0200, Erik Hammerstad
> <egeha.is.all.you.need@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
>
> >cgjt wrote:
> >> "Erik Hammerstad" <egeha.is.all.you.need@xxxxxxxxxx> skrev i
> >> meddelandet news:3ic9joFipe1uU1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
> >>
> >> snip
> >>
> >> By the way
> >>
> >>> professor Sköld notes that the use of the double dots in
> >>
> >> the runes
> >>
> >>> dates them to not earlier than the 18th century, as that's
> >>
> >> when
> >>
> >>> the double dots in the ö (oe) and the ä (ae) first
> >>
> >> appeared in
> >>
> >>> Swedish printing.
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >> Does anybody know more about DS 2268
> >> <http://fmpro.ra.se/ra/medeltid/pdf/3045.pdf>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> Interestingly, Tryggve Sköld is continuing his
> >>
> >> investigation of

Re: KRS: Final thoughts

> >>
> >>>the KRS language, see (in Swedish, sorry)
> >>><http://www2.sofi.se/daum/katta/katta15/katta15.pdf>.
> >>
> >> Assuming the
> >>
> >>>text to be modern he is making a case for its author being
> >>
> >> a
> >>
> >>>Norwegian from Northern Østerdalen, possibly with some
> >>>modifications being made by a Swedish carver from Dalarna.
> >>
> >> This
> >>
> >>>for someone interested in pointing to possible
> >>
> >> perpetrators (Sköld
> >>
> >>>agrees it was not Ohman).
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >> Prof. Sköld seems to be unaware of the early use of 'h' in
> >> 'ahr'
> >> and the spelling of day as 'dagh'. He has an obvious bias.
> >>
> >> From 'Svenskt diplomatariums huvudkartotek över
> >> medeltidsbrev' at;
> >>
> >> <http://62.20.57.212/ra/medeltid/soksida.html>
> >>
> >> The numbers are...
> >>
> >> Brevnummer (Number of letter)
> >> Årtal (Date of letter,year only)
> >> then the relevant text is quoted.
> >>
> >> 4465
> >> 1339
> >> æpter wars Herra byrdh Tusandhe arum, ok tryhundradha ahrum,
> >> ok trettigi
> >> ahrum, ok a nyondha areno niclatinsa *) dag &c.
> >>
> >>
> >><snip further examples>
> >
> >>I'll leave Sköld to his own defense, by his reference list he
> >>seems to be relying quite a lot on Wahlgren, whether that's wise I
> >>have no idea.
>

Re: KRS: Final thoughts

Re: KRS: Final thoughts

> It's distinctly unwise. Hall made a first rate job of showing Wahlgren
> to be utterly dishonest with respect to the KRS.
>>
>>Re your examples I presume you intend them for showing spellings
>>from old times. However, checks on some of them show that the
>>texts are later copies of the originals, if so the original
>>spelling may not have come through.

Erik H has as usual missed to check – all of the words in spellings being
discussed exists in 14th century origin!!!!

Not ONLY later copies. Some persons tends to lean to scholars who forgotten
to do their homework!!!!!!

Inger E

>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Eric Stevens
>

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**
 ◇ From: Erik Hammerstad
- ◆ **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**
 ◇ From: IEJ

• *References:*

- ◆ **KRS: Final thoughts**
 ◇ From: m_zalar@xxxxxxxxxxxx
- ◆ **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**
 ◇ From: Erik Hammerstad
- ◆ **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**
 ◇ From: m_zalar@xxxxxxxxxxxx
- ◆ **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**
 ◇ From: Erik Hammerstad
- ◆ **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**
 ◇ From: cgjt
- ◆ **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**
 ◇ From: Erik Hammerstad
- ◆ **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**
 ◇ From: Eric Stevens

- Prev by Date: **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**
- Next by Date: **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**

Re: KRS: Final thoughts

- Previous by thread: *Re: KRS: Final thoughts*
- Next by thread: *Re: KRS: Final thoughts*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*