

Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-06/msg01900.html>

- *From:* "grapheus" <grapheus@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 29 Jun 2005 05:05:13 -0700
-

O.H. wrote:

>>> My opinion is, that my 22 stem-forms are real, and that they reveal an
>>> integrated system, consisting of elements of two signs.
>>
>>Of course, it's real. But is its meaning the one you think ?.. That is
>>the question !..
>
> I believe, that the constructor of the disc was actually working with
> elements of two, and not the individual sign. All statistical
> experiments, you carry on, proves so.

But the decipherment proves the contrary !.. The statistics can be explained by the characteristics of the couple "Greek language+ Type of script". (See examples in my preceding post).

>>
>>> 2) When you succeeded in placing a reasonable 8 months linear calendar
>>> into this material, just by inserting one initial sign on both sides,
>>> then this discovery is to be taken seriously in a case like this one,
>>> it cannot just be rejected.
>>
>>>This is the flaw in your demonstration : in order to get something
>>>which looks "reasonable", you have to ARBITRARILY add two "signs". In
>>>other words, you are entering into a NON-SCIENTIFIC reasoning : "the
>>>figure doesn't fit with the conclusion I want to reach ?.. I change
>>>the figure and keep the conclusion" !!!
>>>And this BIAS is increased a lot more with the taking in consideration
>>>of your "Reduced stems" !...
>>>A real SCIENTIFIC mind would do the contrary : "The figure doesn't fit
>>>with my hypothesis ?.. I reject the hypothesis" !... (Mainly when
>>>another one fits !)...
>>
>>grapheus
>>
> Not more arbitrarily or non-scientific than adding eka-boron to the
> periodical table, later confirmed as a real matter.
> Remember the two majuscules are not added, they exist, I am only

Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.

> promoting them to real signs.

YES! And this is an "ad hoc" decision !...

What is the problem...

grapheus

• **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: O.H.

• **References:**

- ◆ **Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: O.H.
- ◆ **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: O.H.
- ◆ **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: qakare
- ◆ **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: O.H.
- ◆ **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: grapheus
- ◆ **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: O.H.
- ◆ **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: grapheus
- ◆ **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: O.H.
- ◆ **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: grapheus
- ◆ **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
◇ From: O.H.

- Prev by Date: **Re: KRS: Final thoughts**
- Next by Date: **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
- Next by thread: **Re: Sorry! The Phaistos disc.**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**