

Re: Teouma skeletons....

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-07/msg00680.html>

- *From:* Philip Deitiker <Donevenask@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 22 Jul 2005 11:49:18 GMT
-

"qiwi" <marym@xxxxxx> says in
<news:1122022357.264219.281880@xx>:

- > verbatim in these reports. Or do you think that on the
- > television item Geoff Chambers words have been deleted and
- > somebody has overdubbed the imbecilic comments in their place.

Certainly not the human biology paper, you cannot even find its abstract on Pubmed, and no abstracts from 2005. I actually had to do a manual search of the journal to get the abstract to begin with. The only imbecil at present is the one who is acting in denial.

.

• *References:*

- ◆ *Teouma skeletons....*
 - ◇ *From:* qiwi
 - ◆ *Re: Teouma skeletons....*
 - ◇ *From:* qiwi
 - ◆ *Re: Teouma skeletons....*
 - ◇ *From:* benlizross
 - ◆ *Re: Teouma skeletons....*
 - ◇ *From:* qiwi
 - ◆ *Re: Teouma skeletons....*
 - ◇ *From:* benlizross
 - ◆ *Re: Teouma skeletons....*
 - ◇ *From:* qiwi
-
- Prev by Date: *Re: Teouma skeletons....*
 - Next by Date: *Re: does this say what I think it says?*
 - Previous by thread: *Re: Teouma skeletons....*
 - Next by thread: *Re: Teouma skeletons....*
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*