

## Re: furthering the irrelevant

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-08/msg00315.html>

---

- *From:* [nospam@xx](mailto:nospam@xx)
  - *Date:* Thu, 18 Aug 2005 22:42:54 GMT
- 

Apparently on date Thu, 18 Aug 2005 16:40:35 -0400, Horace LaBadie  
<hwlabadiejr@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> said:

>In article <rth9g15dse6j28nffdv50tfgpipna86sac@xxxxxxx>,  
> nospam@xx wrote:  
>  
>> Apparently on date Wed, 17 Aug 2005 19:12:35 -0400, Horace LaBadie  
>> <hwlabadiejr@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> said:  
>>  
>> >Your repeated attempts to spin the original imprecision, which led to  
>> >the subsequent nastiness of your personal attacks, have not lessened the  
>> >impression that you were being cute with the language in order to  
>> >present some irrelevant speculation as though it were an insight about  
>> >Norse penetration of the interior of NA in the present day USA.  
>> >  
>> >But feel free to continue the irrelevancy and misrepresentation.  
>>  
>> R-I-G-H-T, so it was all a big trick for me to talk about how the Norse  
>> reached  
>> Minnesota.  
>>  
>> Glad you cleared that up, your "crackpots talking about norse in minnesota"  
>> algorithm is all working just fine and you managed to catch one - despite his  
>> clever attempt to appear to be talking about something else entirely.  
>>  
>> You can sleep safely in your bed, another crackpot discovered, successful  
>> again, until the next time you must find a crackpot to unmask, once the need  
>> becomes too pressing for you to resist, and you must find one, you just must.  
>>  
>> But you don't score points for finding the same one twice, so please would  
>> you  
>> kill file me so you don't accidently catch me deliberately talking about  
>> something that might almost be Norse in Minnesota, again? Thanks in advance.  
>>  
>> You don't need to reply with some "you snipped the bit where you lied, not  
>> me!"  
>> rubbish, just do the killfile bit and then don't b