

Re: Is there a more comprehensive Old Persian lexicon than R.G.Kent's

# Re: Is there a more comprehensive Old Persian lexicon than R.G.Kent's

---

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-10/msg00473.html>

---

- From: [zeroSpamNotttype@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:zeroSpamNotttype@xxxxxxxxxx)
  - Date: Fri, 28 Oct 2005 12:33:53 +0100
- 

> Have you considered that Old Persian cuneiform may have been used to  
> write a message in another language?  
>  
> Ken Young

Indeed I have – the first thing I did was to convert the symbols to their phonetic values. The result made no sense in English, whether or not I included the symbol shaped like a "K" that wasn't a genuine symbol but formed part of the "message" nonetheless. I also tried inserting vowels between the corresponding consonants, but no luck.

---

- **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ **[Re: Is there a more comprehensive Old Persian lexicon than R.G.Kent's](#)**  
◇ From: kenney

- **References:**

- ◆ **[Is there a more comprehensive Old Persian lexicon than R.G.Kent's](#)**  
◇ From: zero-DeleteThisWhenReplying\_type
- ◆ **[Re: Is there a more comprehensive Old Persian lexicon than R.G.Kent's](#)**  
◇ From: kenney

- Prev by Date: **[Re: The Straight Lines of the Great Pond](#)**
- Next by Date: **[Re: The Straight Lines of the Great Pond](#)**
- Previous by thread: **[Re: Is there a more comprehensive Old Persian lexicon than R.G.Kent's](#)**
- Next by thread: **[Re: Is there a more comprehensive Old Persian lexicon than R.G.Kent's](#)**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **[Date](#)**
  - ◆ **[Thread](#)**