

# Re: Amerind mtDNA lines Founded by coastal and Riparian colonization

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-12/msg00007.html>

---

- *From:* "Lee Olsen" <[paleocity@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:paleocity@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 30 Nov 2005 07:41:43 -0800
- 

Philip Deitiker wrote:

> In sci.anthropology.paleo message  
> [news:dh9oo19fg319vfc11169c75jmm7sc7kear@xxxxxxxx](mailto:news:dh9oo19fg319vfc11169c75jmm7sc7kear@xxxxxxxx) by  
> nospam@xx . . . :  
>  
>> . . . . . He  
>> won't actually admit to making some stupid or careless error and  
>> will just keep arguing it is correct until you give up.  
>  
> I made no error.

Here is the error you made: "Note: That is a message from your NNTP server not mine, learn how to read the headers."

I thought one of the requirements to obtain a PhD was reading comprehension, how did you slip through? That was not a message created from my NNTP server you idiot. The message (not to archive) showed up on your post because you clicked your X-box, it had nothing to do with my server, learn how to read the headers yourself. Your problem is that you do not see on your reader the message you created, it makes no difference what Google does, it still did not originate from my sever as you claimed.

I deliberately checked the X-no-archive box in Xnews

By admitting you clicked the X-box on your reader proves you instigated the message, not my server. That was the issue, not the fact that you didn't want your post to be archived.

> just as I do with your post. The fact that you and Lee are clueless  
> has nothing to do with my intent. If I'd not intended it to be  
> archived, I would not have clicked the box.

"Not intended it to be archived"??? Sorry, wrong again, it wasn't archived. And you call others clueless?

Re: Amerind mtDNA lines Founded by coastal and Riparian colonization

Just as I intend for the

- > header X–posted from newsgroup: sci.anthropology.paleo even though
- > this reply is only in sci.archaeology where the kook nospam is known
- > to hang out. Whether or not I want my articles to be archived is my
- > business

Whether you think it's your business or not, it becomes public information for all the world to see when you check your X–box and make a post.

- and has no bearing on what you think is stupid or careless,
- > although I am quickly learning what you think is stupid, similar to
  - > ones interpretation of Inger, is probably right.

Here is your error again: Deitiker's claim: "Note: That is a message from your NNTP server not mine, learn how to read the headers." I never claimed you didn't choose to have your post not archived, that was never the issue and where you got that idea I don't know. I think it's a wonderful idea that you do not have yours posts archived, you should do Google and the world a favor and not archive any of them.

.

- 
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Legend of Maurice Griffith and White Indian***
  - Next by Date: ***Re: Amerind mtDNA lines Founded by coastal and Riparian colonization***
  - Previous by thread: ***Re: Legend of Maurice Griffith and White Indian***
  - Next by thread: ***Re: Amerind mtDNA lines Founded by coastal and Riparian colonization***
  - Index(es):
    - ◆ ***Date***
    - ◆ ***Thread***