

Re: KRS book: Geology

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-01/msg00039.html>

- *From:* Eric Stevens <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 02 Jan 2006 12:45:08 +1300
-

On Sun, 1 Jan 2006 11:15:26 +0100, "Peter Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxx> wrote:

>Eric Stevens wrote: c0oer1p5d29qcqod3dk5tk9hd711hq9r78@xxxxxxxx,

>

>> On Sun, 1 Jan 2006 02:05:34 +0100, "Peter Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxxxx>

>> wrote:

>>

>>> Eric Stevens wrote: ui0er1haaqgjv65jvqcrj1nb8cf7v5pr74@xxxxxxxx,

>>>> "Peter Alaca" wrote:

>>>>> Eric Stevens wrote:

>>>>

>>>>> Please – please – read the book if you are you are going to

>>>>> continue consider sounding off.

>>>>> Ojakangas plotted six different types of greywacke on his

>>>>> particular triangle diagram and almost certainly examined

>>>>> many more specimens he did not consider worth plotting.

>>>>>

>>>>> That would be a very unscientific procedure,

>>>>> since a (triangle) scatter diagram is not only

>>>>> meant to show similarities, but also differences.

>>>>> I am sure Ojakangas, if he is a serious scientist,

>>>>> would not very happy with your comment above.

>>>>>

>>>> I understand him to be looking for similarities. When examining

>>>> samples widely different from that of the KRS I would expect he would

>>>> discard them from further consideration. He was looking for, and

>>>> plotting, samples which were similar.

>>>>

>>>> Why plotting similar samples?

>>>> A triangle scattergram is used to show the relative

>>>> composition of samples based on three elements.

>>>> You feed the program with all relevant data and look

>>>> for clustering and `_differences_`.

>>>> You don't feed it with similar samples. If Ojakangas

>>>> did that, then there is every reason to distrust him.

>>>>

>> Aah. I see the root of our problem. You think the scattergram referred

>> to is Ojakangas's working diagram.

>
>No, I think it is the published scattergram,
>and if he did what you say he did, then he
>presented only 'positive' results.

He presented the results closest to that of the KRS.

>
>> I think it is the one he used to plot the alternatives
>> closest to the that of the KRS.

>
>And you believe him without seeing the all the results?

Why not? He's got nothing to gain and everything to lose by lying. See
<http://www.d.umn.edu/geology/people/fsbios/ojakangas.html>

>
>(btw. What is an "obe"?
> I hope it is not a out-of-body experience)

It's an artifact of the phantom line grabber which periodically whips
blocks of text from screen. I don't always notice when it happens. At
this stage I haven't the faintest idea what might have been there
before it struck.

The next paragraph is an example of the kind of thing that it does.

It's an artifact of the phantom his stage I haven't the faintest idea
what might have been there before it struck.

The prime suspect for the home of the PLG is my Matrox graphics card.
I have two computers with Matrox and they both do the same thing
across multiple applications. I have a new computer coming up soon.

Eric Stevens

.

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: KRS book: Geology**
◇ From: Peter Alaca

• *References:*

- ◆ **Re: KRS book: Geology**
◇ From: Peter Alaca
- ◆ **Re: KRS book: Geology**
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ **Re: KRS book: Geology**
◇ From: Peter Alaca

Re: KRS book: Geology

- Prev by Date: *Re: Kensington Runestone – Nielsen and Wolters.*
- Next by Date: *Re: New book on Vinland*
- Previous by thread: *Re: KRS book: Geology*
- Next by thread: *Re: KRS book: Geology*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*