

Re: KRS book: Geology

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-01/msg00043.html>

- *From:* "Peter Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 2 Jan 2006 01:26:02 +0100
-

Eric Stevens wrote: dkpgr11lnj84tvb59fn9k9e7r86ti8i7af@xxxxxxxx,

"Peter Alaca" wrote:

Eric Stevens wrote:

"Peter Alaca" wrote:

Eric Stevens wrote:

"Peter Alaca"
wrote:

Eric
Stevens
wrote:

Please - please - read the book if you are you are
continue consider sounding off.
Ojakangas plotted six different types of greywacke
particular triangle diagram and almost certainly e
many more specimens he did not consider worth plot

That would be a very unscientific procedure,
since a (triangle) scatter diagram is not only
meant to show similarities, but also differences.
I am sure Ojakangas, if he is a serious scientistis,
would not very happy with your comment above.

I understand him to be looking for similarities. When examining
samples widely different from that of the KRS I would expect he
would discard them from further consideration. He was looking
for, and plotting, samples which were similar.

Re: KRS book: Geology

Why plotting similar samples?
A triangle scattergram is used to show the relative composition of samples based on three elements. You feed the program with all relevant data and look for clustering and _differences_. You don't feed it with similar samples. If Ojakangas did that, then there is every reason to distrust him.

Aah. I see the root of our problem. You think the scattergram referred to is Ojakangas's working diagram.

No, I think it is the published scattergram, and if he did what you say he did, then he presentend only 'positive' results.

He presented the results closest to that of the KRS.

That is what I'm saying: only the positive results.

I think it is the obe he used to plot the alternatives closest to the that of the KRS.

And you believe him without seeing the _all_ the results?

Why not? He's got nothing to gain and everything to lose by lying. See <http://www.d.umn.edu/geology/people/fsbios/ojakangas.html>

I expected scattergrams. Dissapointing.
But looking at his 'palmares', are yyou sure he did what you say he did?

(btw. What is an "obe"?
I hope it is not a out-of-body experience)

It's an artifact of the phantom line grabber which periodically whips blocks of text from screen. I don't always notice when it happens. At this stage I haven't the faintest idea what might have been there

Re: KRS book: Geology

before it struck.

The next paragraph is an example of the kind of thing that it does.

It's an artifact of the phantom his stage I haven't the faintest idea what might have been there before it struck.

The prime suspect for the home of the PLG is my Matrox graphics card. I have two computers with Matrox and they both do the same thing across multiple applications. I have a new computer coming up soon.

Strange! Being in contact with Inger lately? ;-)

--

***** < Peter Alaca > *****

.