



## Re: The language of the KRS

> >>> mean that it was discovered in the last 10 days.  
> >>  
> >> You are right. It was confused by Eric's invention.  
> >> He changed my question about \_publication\_ in the  
> >> last ten days to new \_evidence\_ in the last ten days.  
> >> I don't know if he did that on purpose, or that he  
> >> can't read.  
> >>  
> >> My point was what is written in the cited article  
> >> on the basis of an interview with Wolter.  
> >> "Wolter said in the past two weeks  
> >> it has been determined that ..."  
> >> and  
> >> "But after the book came out, Wolter  
> >> and Nielsen discovered ..."  
> >>  
> >> So, Wolter says they discovered new things  
> >> in the previous fortnight. That means that if  
> >> they published that, that only could be done  
> >> in the days since the interview on 26 december.  
> >> Hence the ten days.  
> >> I think that is impossible, but given Eric's  
> >> "I don't know where you get the 'unpublished' from"  
> >> he seems to suggest they did.  
> >  
> > From a question I had in July and what I have heard, read or elsewhere  
> > had information about I can see in the interview of 26 december that  
> > they have arrived to a new conclusion/had new information in at least  
> > two essential details. Interesting information fitting the picture  
> > and making the ribbons into a nice rosette. The pieces they stressed  
> > were cistercienser order and the German Knights. Well if we add to  
> > the picture of Ivar Bardson in mid 14th century Norway, The Knights  
> > Templar in 13th and 14th century, The Cistercienser Order in  
> > Scandinavia in 14th century and the German Order in the Baltic,  
> > a marriage between Svantepolk Knutson and a descendant to Birger  
> > Brosa(Folkunga Dynasty) who also were a descendant to King Sverker of  
> > Sweden and her background, some of the more wellknown Bride-run-away  
> > in Swedish History..... it all fits into one and the same box and  
> > the ribbons fits the box.  
> >  
> >  
> > But, as I understand it, that is in the book  
> > and not discoveries since the publication  
> > of the book.

The link going back to Norway?

The reason why Bohuslän e-dialect fits Gotland-Baltic and why a lot of the documentation can be found in Östergötland. That is to say the documentation that aren't in Trondheim/Bergen?

Inger E

Re: The language of the KRS

>  
> ---  
> oooooooooo < Peter Alaca > oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo  
>  
>  
>

---

• **References:**

- ◆ ***The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: Eric Stevens*
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: Steve Marcus*
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: Peter Alaca*
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: Eric Stevens*
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: Peter Alaca*
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: Eric Stevens*
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: Peter Alaca*
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: I E\_Johansson*
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: Peter Alaca*
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: I E\_Johansson*
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***  
    ◇ *From: Peter Alaca*

- Prev by Date: ***Re: The language of the KRS***
- Next by Date: ***Re: The language of the KRS***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: The language of the KRS***
- Next by thread: ***Re: The language of the KRS***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***