

Re: The language of the KRS

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-01/msg00252.html>

- *From:* "Steve Marcus" <smarcus_spamout_@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 7 Jan 2006 09:04:53 -0500
-

"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:qn1vr1d6ohon9hahviddapp5pv4lpuf18j@xxxxxxxx
> On Fri, 6 Jan 2006 17:37:44 -0500, "Steve Marcus"
> <smarcus_spamout_@xxxxxxx> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
>>news:l7ptr19sgh015avf9d3bjdk1v61gpdblpl@xxxxxxxx
>>> On Fri, 6 Jan 2006 07:00:33 -0500, "Steve Marcus"
>>> <smarcus_spamout_@xxxxxxx> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
>>>>news:3llrr1hhq41fa53uc3nogd5tgrjb3muki6@xxxxxxxx
>>>>>I have been sent a video of an address given by Scott Wolter and Dick
>>>>> Nielsen at a book-signing on Dec 10-05.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dick Nielsen made an interesting comment. I didn't note the exact
>>>>> words but I can loosley paraphrase him as saying that according to
>>>>> Henrik Williams 'it is now more difficult to categorise the language
>>>>> of the KRS as being from the 19th century than it is to categorise it
>>>>> as being from the 14th century'.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Why don't you watch the video again (and again and again, if that's what
>>>>>it
>>>>>takes) and reproduce the exact words, rather than loosely paraphrasing
>>>>>the
>>>>>source.
>>>
>>> What is the point of exactly quoting someone else's paraphrase?
>>
>>Better question: What is the point of asking you a simply question.
>
> With you Steve, there are no simple questions.
>

Re: The language of the KRS

>>Try to follow along, Eric:

>>

>>1. You report that you were sent a video of a book signing address at

>>which

>>Nielsen made a comment.

>>

>>2. You further report that you didn't note Nielsen's "exact words", but

>>that you can "loosely paraphrase what Nielsen said.

>>

>>3. I asked you why you don't watch the video sufficiently to note

>>Nielsen's

>>exact words, and reproduce *those words* here, rather than loosely

>>paraphrasing the source (which in this case would be Nielsen).

>>

>>Is there some part of my question that you now do not understand (not that

>>it could be by any stretch of anyone's imagination that I ever requested you

>>to

>>quote someone else's paraphrase)?

Note is taken of your refusal to answer. The logical assumption is that refusal is based upon your inability to give a cogent reply. Your habit of reading things and then claiming that you've read them in insufficient depth to discuss them *after you post and start a discussion about them*, seems to now be augmented by your having listened to a video without later being able to precisely state what you heard. Again, that didn't keep you from posting to start a discussion about what you think might be the sense of what you've heard.

You are one *fine* scientist, Eric.

>>

>>>>

>>>>Even better, perhaps you can report the "why" behind Nielsen's

>>>>statement.

>>>

>>> It wasn't stated, although it might be possible for you to deduce it

>>> after you have read the book.

>>

>>Were *you* able to deduce it after *you* read the book?

>

> Possibly.

Then why not give us your guess, Eric? You've had no problem reporting your guesses so far; the problems seem to occur when people choose to discuss those guesses with you.

>

>

>

> Eric Stevens

Steve

Re: The language of the KRS

—
The above posting is neither a legal opinion nor legal advice, because we do not have an attorney–client relationship, and should not be construed as either. This posting does not represent the opinion of my employer, but is merely my personal view. To reply, delete _spamout_ and replace with the numeral 3

• **References:**

- ◆ ***The language of the KRS***
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***
◇ From: Steve Marcus
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***
◇ From: Eric Stevens
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***
◇ From: Steve Marcus
- ◆ ***Re: The language of the KRS***
◇ From: Eric Stevens

- Prev by Date: ***Re: The language of the KRS***
- Next by Date: ***Re: KRS book: Geology***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: The language of the KRS***
- Next by thread: ***Tired of the KRS? How about the Callanish Stones***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***