

Re: Geology Question (KRS related)

Re: Geology Question (KRS related)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-02/msg00260.html>

- *From:* "Steve Marcus" <smarcus_spamout_@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 3 Feb 2006 11:36:10 -0500
-

"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:jbd5u11jasfs8bnou46p62fkb1ucn64oal@xxxxxxxx

On Thu, 2 Feb 2006 18:27:16 -0500, "Steve Marcus"
<smarcus_spamout_@xxxxxxx> wrote:

"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1bo4u1dhu4kvj5aviqrphgvkhf5phutja8@xxxxxxxx

On Thu, 2 Feb 2006 05:39:02 -0500, "Steve Marcus"
<smarcus_spamout_@xxxxxxx> wrote:

"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx>
wrote in message
news:ass2u1pmq8mk9bm5kbjp9qhctdfv1o6mqv@xxxxxxxx

On Wed, 1 Feb 2006
17:37:33 -0500, "Steve
Marcus"
<smarcus_spamout_@xxxxxxx>
wrote:

--- snip ---

The KRS
was
discovered
buried in
soil that one
might safely
predicate

Re: Geology Question (KRS related)

was
a
bit
"swampy"
or "boggy";
that
condition
was used to
support
consideration
of
Runestone
Hill as
fitting the
term
"island"
which
appears on
the KRS.

Aren't you jumping to a
conclusion here? The
runestone was found on
the side of a hill of 'glacial
till'. Somebody (Daryl?) has
already
pointed out that the term
'glacial till' covers a wide