

Re: Geology Question (KRS related)

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-02/msg00395.html>

- *From:* Eric Stevens <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 05 Feb 2006 10:05:25 +1300
-

On Sat, 4 Feb 2006 11:28:50 +0100, "Peter Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxx> wrote:

Eric Stevens wrote: 4lo8u1hmpd271b3jvi9bqrc2ovnbh37msf@xxxxxxx,

"Steve Marcus" wrote

"Eric Stevens" wrote

"Steve Marcus" wrote

[...]

The KRS was found near the top of a hill
and I have never previously
heard the point of discovery discovered as
either swampy or boggy.

Blegen, for example has it that the KRS was discovered on a
knoll
"above swampy ground."

See – when provoked, you can provide a source. Do you really think
that this is evidence that the knoll itself is boggy? I very much
doubt that it is.

To use your own tactics: so you don't know yourself.

Of course I don't know, but if I exercised my common sense I would
not conclude that a hill of glacial till would be boggy.

Re: Geology Question (KRS related)

[...]

Eric Stevens

.