

Re: Geology Question (KRS related)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-02/msg00409.html>

- *From:* "Peter Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 5 Feb 2006 01:02:32 +0100
-

Eric Stevens wrote:

"Peter Alaca" wrote:

Eric Stevens wrote:

"Peter Alaca" wrote:

Eric Stevens wrote:

"Steve Marcus" wrote

"Eric
Stevens"
wrote

"Steve
Marcus"
wrote

[...]

The
KRS
was
found
near
the
top
of
a
hill
and
I
have
never
previously
heard

Re: Geology Question (KRS related)

the
point
of
discovery
discovered
as
either
swampy
or
boggy.

Blegen, for
example has
it that the
KRS was
discovered
on a knoll
"above
swampy
ground."

See – when provoked, you
can provide a source. Do
you really think
that this is evidence that the
knoll itself is boggy? I very
much
doubt that it is.

To use your own tactics: so you don't know
yourself.

Of course I don't know, but if I exercised my common sense
I would not conclude that a hill of glacial till would be
boggy.

Perhaps you are right in this case, but in general
your common sense is not reliable.

For some basics on bogs see
<http://tinyurl.com/7acuc>

Re: Geology Question (KRS related)

Re: Geology Question (KRS related)