

Re: Early Christian Danes

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-04/msg00330.html>

- *From:* "Peter Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 17 Apr 2006 00:53:54 +0200
-

Eric Stevens wrote: news:3bf542pfpj2glnD3c99chejibj864dv58u@xxxxxx

On Sat, 15 Apr 2006 16:19:46 +0200, "Peter Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxx> wrote:

"Per Rønne" wrote:
news:1hduaub.134mmx61jhg2q6N%per@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Peter Alaca wrote:

"Per Rønne" wrote:

Erik Hammerstad wrote:

Two Danish churches (Sebbersund and Fredbjerg) with churchyards and hence christian graves have been dated to 800–900. However, as the blogs note, both dating and interpretation of the finds are contested. Also in

Re: Early Christian Danes

Norway
there are
churchyards
predating
1000 AD.

And in reality, it would be
no major news if Christian
graves
earlier than Harald
Bluetooth were to be found.
After all,
missionaries in Denmark are
known long before his time.

Yes, especially Ansgar and perhaps Ebbo
in the mid 9th century.
And it is possible that the Jutes came in
contact with the work of Boniface among
the Frisians a century earlier.
But it would be major news if christian
graves
from around 100 AD were found.

And extremely unlikely too. Actually, I would had
considered »AD
100« a typo error, one missing zero, had this interpretation
not
been refuted by Inger herself.

Yes, it is ridiculous. As usual she can't admit a mistake,
probably made in her notes.

More likely she has fallen into the trap of arguing:

Christian graves are east–west.
Therefore all east–west graves are christian.

Alan Crozier has already highlighted this fallacy.

Yes, of course, but I meant that she claims that
her sources contain proof of christian burials

Re: Early Christian Danes

around 100 AD.

All she provides is a lot of off-
topic crap. And now we not only have to read the publications
she mentioned, but also "every given referrens in those works".
Yeah, sure. She can't come up with one relevant quote herself.

—
p.a.

.