

Re: OT: Artificial?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-05/msg00433.html>

- *From:* Doug Weller <dweller@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 19 May 2006 05:29:14 +0100
-

On 18 May 2006 12:35:36 -0700, in sci.archaeology, bogart.lloy@xxxxxxxx wrote:

p.a. wrote:

That is because an IQ of above 130 in almost all cases is (far) less than 130.
There is nothing above 100.

I'm almost certain that half the population is above average. ;-) The other half may *seem* larger, but on a given day, you can collect a healthy sample of stupidity from anywhere along that curve.

Why couldn't most of the population be around average? There's no mathematical reason to think that half are above and half below.

DOug

--

Doug Weller --

A Director and Moderator of The Hall of Ma'at <http://www.hallofmaat.com>

Doug's Archaeology Site: <http://www.ramtops.co.uk>

Amun - co-owner/co-moderator <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Amun/>

.