

## Re: Iron artifacts validated with prejudices

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-06/msg00540.html>

---

- *From:* Doug Weller <[dweller@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dweller@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 27 Jun 2006 20:50:07 +0100
- 

On Tue, 27 Jun 2006 10:58:54 +0200, in sci.archaeology, Peter Alaca wrote:

IEJ wrote: [news:5H4og.6450\\$E02.1826@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:5H4og.6450$E02.1826@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Alan,  
when was the last time you read and noted what's the real content in Hauksbokis? the content, which I am about to send tomorrow some essential facts around  
There is no way on this earth that Vinland of Karlsefni could have been placed north of NY.

" After a winter in the Straumfjörðr area several members of the group, led by Karlsefni, sail further south along the coast 'for a long time' to a place which they named 'Hóp' (a common name for a tidal lake). Páll Bergþórsson believes that this might have been the present New York area (1997:83 and passim), and this may well be the case, though other New England locations may fit the description just as well, making a definitive identification impossible."  
[http://www.raunvis.hi.is/~thv/Nav\\_&\\_Viinl.htm](http://www.raunvis.hi.is/~thv/Nav_&_Viinl.htm)

I guess you don't know that New England is north of New York

Most of New England except a tiny bit of New Hampshire and part of Maine is actually east of New York.

Not one single chance if you read what the text say and look at the currents in the waters not to mention that the true figures given by the documents for where Vinland was located

Re: Iron artifacts validated with prejudices

can't give any other readings than that the sun was up 0700 to 1700 on the shortest day.

No

"/Sól hafði þar eyktarstað og dagmálsstað um/  
/skammdegi/.

The sun passed the points of "eykt" and "day  
mark" in the period of the shortest days.(19)

19) Magnusson and Pálsson, Vinland Sagas,  
56, have the following for the last sentence:  
"On the shortest day of the year the sun was  
already up by 9 a.m., and did not set until after  
3 p.m." Although the meaning is strictly  
speaking not the same it may be so in  
practice because of the uncertainty in  
observation and interpretation."  
[http://www.raunvis.hi.is/~thv/t\\_t.html](http://www.raunvis.hi.is/~thv/t_t.html)

ALL other things are nonsense assumed by people  
who aren't familiar with the farmers day and the monestries days  
before 1400.

[...]

—

Doug Weller —

A Director and Moderator of The Hall of Ma'at <http://www.hallofmaat.com>

Doug's Archaeology Site: <http://www.ramtops.co.uk>

Amun – co-owner/co-moderator <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Amun/>

.