

Re: Myths of British Ancestry ancestry is wrong

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-10/msg00114.html>

- *From:* "Peter Alaca" <P.Alaca@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 6 Oct 2006 10:16:33 +0200
-

Michael Kuettner wrote: [news:eg47mk\\$dhh\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:eg47mkdhh1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"Peter Alaca" schrieb

Michael Kuettner wrote:

"Peter Alaca" schrieb

Matt Giwer wrote:

Peter Alaca wrote:

Matt Giwer
wrote:

<snip>

You really have to start flame-wars about Philips' implied
"racism"
and then start to talk to a well-known Nazi-pig ?

Are you really _that_ dumb or are you a kook, too ?

I think I /am/ that dumb, because I didn't know nothing
about a nazi-giwer.

For fuxks sake ! Check the Usenet archives.
Every exchange with Geifer ends at Holocaust denial after
the 12th post.
Look at soc.history.ancient.
When the idiots Agamemnon & cie aren't around, Matt "sterno-
junkie" eifer will ruin any sane discussion.

Re: Myths of British Ancestry
ancestry is wrong

And this was not about racism or nazism, but about someone who was complaining in scia about off-topic posting is SHA and then posting off-topic in scia himself. Is it that wrong to point to such a contradiction? Wrong enough to call me dumb or a kook?

I'll give you the benefit of doubt.
Now go check groups.google.com for that nice piece of shit.

After you've done that, you'll understand my reaction.

Really, check first, then answer.

I am not interested to check, but as soon as I meet someone like that, he is gone forever.

Just when the cunt IngerE. was relatively quiet, you started your own little crusade.

No I didn't.

You did.
Philip has some peculiar ideas about Europeans which make me smile. You went off half-cocked.

I thought you were talking about now.
And Inger wasn't quiet at all when prd started his war against this group.
She was silenced by it.
And Philip didn't start with some peculiar ideas about European

I have no problems with him; he at least tries to back up his claims. He hasn't answered some of my questions; but he has answered many of them re. genetic clocks.
He might have his own little ways, but he is no kook.

Re: Myths of British Ancestry
ancestry is wrong

Re: Myths of British Ancestry
ancestry is wrong

Now we have the cunt back and you talk to Geifer.
And we can rest assured that the little war with Philip will go
on.
Hurray !

It is clear that Philip's war will go on.

No, the war of you both .

How many times did I reply to him in the last
couple of weeks?

For me that still is no reason for a hurray.

They should teach "heavy sarcasm" in school....

I'll un-killfile IngerE again.

No wonder from you the cunt is back.

Sentence no sense make.

No wonder for you the cunt is back.
They should teach recognising a redundant
letter in school :-)

We need some action, don't we ?

As long as it is archaeology.

Re: Myths of British Ancestry
ancestry is wrong

Your flamewar about some obscure "racism" won't help that cause.

Yes, I mean the both of you.

The problem with you, Peter, is that you haven't read the archives.

IEJs claims were solidly debunked before you appeared here; her shitty

Latin, her shitty German, heck – even her shitty Old–Swedish.

"Ivar Bardsson I–IV", and "Thithes from Greenland", eg.

Genitiv plural, anyone ?

I did a lot of reading in the archives, even before I appeared here.

I can back up every single debunking of arguments from the cunt; it's rather easy.

What has changed here is that Doug Weller doesn't automatically ask "Oh, Inger, what did you mean" ???

And that Tom McD has seen the light, too.

And how many times did you see me reply to her in her latest eruptions? Count them, and compare it with Tom's

Philip has read the archives. You haven't, obviously.

Otherwise you would have killfiled slime like Geifer immediately.

What a strange logic and what a strange conclusion.

I don't care what someone is or what someone says or said elsewhere.

Do you really expect from me that I search the archives, and who knows what else, whether there is something horrible about you to find, before I talk to you?

In reality I already know from memory without consulting the archives, and I don't care, but I am sure other people do.

But in that light, I wonder why you blame me for going 'half cocked' about Philip's vicious attacks on this group and on persons.

And that's the beef : Philip seems to believe that you've read the archives, too. Like he did.

Philip's insane crusade was (/is) not about reading the archives, and I am not interested in what Philip believes or not.

Re: Myths of British Ancestry
ancestry is wrong

So just stop, take a deep breath and relax.

You seem to have missed something.
There is nothing to stop from my side,
and I am relaxed.

And to PRD : Your accusations of European racism have amused me
greatly. Us Australians hate the Frogs ! We've got no room for more
racism... ;-)

Are you really an Australian, or are you just an Austrian?

—

p.a.

.