

Re: Questions

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-10/msg00403.html>

- *From:* "Tom McDonald" <kiltmac@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 24 Oct 2006 20:09:29 -0700
-

Inger E.(Norah) wrote:

"JerryT" <cgjt@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1161711845.232578.51950@xx

Alan Crozier skrev:

"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:95rhh25862kqdcdtq7osm2uvu0ub71b5ri@xxxxxxxx

On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 06:43:51 GMT, "Inger E"
<inger_e.johansson@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

snip

And Alan sent you ref to a work where this
is mentioned.

Alan, is this correct? Have you cited a work which describes
the
global sea level as being 15 meters above the present at some
time in
the bronze age?

I haven't seen the work to which Inger refers so I don't know what the
authors say, if anything, about global sea level. Their report is about
"Recent sedimentary deposits and sediment chemistry" of Lake Vättern.
Inger cites it only in connection with the tilting of the lake which led
to the formation of the river Motåla Ström ca. 5700 BC.

Re: Questions

I don't understand why Inger seems so reluctant to quote a source to back up her statement. She said it was in a pre-stage of her C-essay. I have only the finished essay. That's from 1993. Surely some research has been done since then?

Just for the record...

In their work mentioned up this thread
Lars Håkanson and Thorsten Ahl
do not discuss global sea level other
than when it had an impact on Lake Vättern,
and they certainly do not anywhere in it
mention or claim a bronze age global sea level
15 meter above or below anything. They do not
discuss global sea level at all.

JerryT

IEJ: For the record – There are numerous works, type we call 'arbetskopior' in other word not printed to be edited in public but to different meetings with specialists.

As it happened in 1993 I had direct contact with SGI(Statens Geotekniska Insititute) in Linköping, not to mention that I in my direct surrounding at that time had three of the best specialists including one at that time working at the University, BUT I was told to use a lower grade in my C-essay so it would be possible for anyone reading it to go finding the referred work at a University or major Library elsewhere. Had anyone of you gone thru the other geologic works or for that matter the archaeological works I have in my ref.list you would have had same information and different references for that information given in the ref.works!!!!

Among those I had helping me and pre-viewing my essay were one archaeologist and two Ph.D in Geology.

The later I had had when I studied Geography to become a teacher. Here in Sweden a teacher of Geography has to read a lot of Geology and I had had those specialists when studying courses. One of them worked at the University, one of them at the 'Lärrhögskolan'.

That said I would like to express my continued surprise to learn that you Jerry, at least you, aren't familiar with those facts. There are numerous lists with figures I thought most who tried to get hold of this information here in Sweden at least could be able to read at a University Library who ordered them for you!

You know, it really doesn't matter how many work products you may have sole access to, nor how many folks have helped you out with your papers. Ultimately, if it isn't published and available to anyone who

Re: Questions

wants to read it, it is effectively nonexistent.

Either give the exact reference(s) that support your contention, or fuck off.

Oh hell, just cut out the first part (which you can't perform anyhow).

.