

Re: Questions

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-10/msg00405.html>

- *From:* "Peter Alaca" <p.alaca@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 25 Oct 2006 10:38:43 +0200
-

Tom McDonald wrote: news:1161745769.799172.244620@xx

Inger E.(Norah) wrote:

IEJ: For the record – There are numerous works, type we call 'arbetskopior' in other word not printed to be edited in public but to different meetings with specialists.

As it happened in 1993 I had direct contact with SGI(Statens Geotekniska Insitute) in Linköping, not to mention that I in my direct surrounding at that time had three of the best specialists including one at that time working at the University, BUT I was told to use a lower grade in my C–essay so it would be possible for anyone reading it to go finding the referred work at a University or major Library elsewhere. Had anyone of you gone thru the other geologic works or for that matter the archaeologic works I have in my ref.list you would have had same information and different references for that information given in the ref.works!!!!

[...]

You know, it really doesn't matter how many work products you may have sole access to, nor how many folks have helped you out with your papers. Ultimately, if it isn't published and available to anyone who wants to read it, it is effectively nonexistent.

Either give the exact reference(s) that support your contention, or fuck off.

Oh hell, just cut out the first part (which you can't perform anyhow).

Note that she calls publications inferior ("lower grade") to working papers.

—

Re: Questions

p.a.

.