

Re: Questions

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-10/msg00420.html>

- *From:* "Peter Alaca" <p.alaca@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 26 Oct 2006 10:35:47 +0200
-

IE_J-son wrote: [news:qgX%g.20894\\$E02.8591@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:qgX%g.20894$E02.8591@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message

Eric,
at present I am very very causus on who gets what.

Do you have a secret weapon to prevent people
you don't like reading information you post here?

That I am not presenting every proof Tom or Peter A calls for,
doesn't say that I don't have them.

Was I (or Tom) calling for proof here? I only pointed
to your very peculiar view on the value of published
scientific papers.

First of all neither of them ever been my friend.

Nobody here is your 'friend', so why are you posting
in a sci.group when you apparently are unwilling to
backup your assertions?

Second, I have limited amount of hours to look for things, ref as
well as full files and images, outside the field Terry, Dave and I am
working with.

The solution is not to tell things here that
needs references

Re: Questions

Since they are working with other lines on same 'field'
I am working with I support them with the work they ask me to do in
order for them to get hold of sources they don't have access to.
Two others I am supporting and filling in gapes for are working with
documents, and artifacts, related to the work I am doing myself at
present.

Yeah, I guess filling in gapes is a yawnful lot of work.

Apart from all that: NO ONE has any right what so ever to
ask me to present quotes.

Who is asking you for quotes?
Everyone has the right to ask you to back-up your
assertions, and what's more: as a poster to a sci.group
it is your duty do so in the first place.

As every serious scholar knows quotes taken out of context
isn't worth anything what so ever if the person who
get the quote aren't very familiar with the Prime or Primary source.

Every serious scholar knows that claiming something
without giving any evidence is unacceptable.

Quotes taken out of context from a work doesn't say anything because
no matter if the scholar behind it is known to be very trustworthy,
he or she can make mistakes as well as be misunderstood if a quote is
to be used.

For the folish comment re. the Official tourist site of Östergötlands
kulturarv, I take that you aren't aware of who's behind that. That's
scholars working at Östergötlands Länsstyrelse's Kultural Department who
together with scholars employed in respective town or area pre-view
the information.

Since the level of Peter A's, Tom's and Jerry T's comments
are lower than any student I ever met in 7th-9th grade when
discussing Scandinavian History and Archaeology, ..

Is any of those students making comments here?
It would be interesting to make a comparison.

Re: Questions

Re: Questions

... I think that Popular-text written by scholars is appropriate.
They aren't worth more!
Others who looked up the other references for full also had a chance,
if they read them, to find almost all references I would have referred
them to had they asked me directly.

Or maybe you would not.

—

p.a.

.