

Re: Scientist Says Concrete Was Used in Pyramids

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-12/msg00356.html>

- *From:* prd <X_header@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 07 Dec 2006 23:51:26 GMT
-

In sci.archaeology message news:1hpz0kd.xuopkmei3v1fN%firstname@xxxxxxxxxxxx by firstname@xxxxxxxxxxxx (Florian) . . . :

prd <X_header@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

The most relevant fact to the discussion is the first fact, what evidence can be drawn from observing pyramid blocks suggests they were poured and not carved as all other experts believe.

Correction: Most stones constituting the pyramids are carved and comes from the quarries located nearby on the giza plateau. No doubt about that. But the stones forming the outer and inner casing are casted as shown by their microstructure analysis. Barsoum speculates that the inner top of the pyramid is also casted (so that a simple low ramp was used). But that part is just speculation.

You never addressed this question, ergo you aren't much of skeptic. You seem to like pyramid schemes though.

Really? I did present the evidence from Barsoum's paper that the binder of the analyzed samples is not natural in <1hpx387.1pvd54wf07mzpN%firstname@xxxxxxxxxxxx>.

How did you missed those few lines from my previous post? May be an alien put its tentacles on your eyes while you were reading this post :-)))

You're story changes every 5 posts.

1st you argued the entire pyramid

Re: Scientist Says Concrete Was Used in Pyramids

then you argued the top half

now you are arguing about outer and inner casing stones

the casing stones have been removed except
for the top of the khafre.

whatever inner casing stones you believe were cast
I have no opinion on other than if you say
they were, they more likely would have been
carved by aliens from venus.

<plonk>

.