

Re: Scientist Says Concrete Was Used in Pyramids

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-12/msg00379.html>

- *From:* firstname@xxxxxxxxxxxx (Florian)
 - *Date:* Fri, 8 Dec 2006 16:41:24 +0100
-

chazwin <chazwyman@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

So much for the speculations.

Barsoum's assertion seems to hinge on one single piece of evidence: that the mineral ratios found in some "stones" cannot be matched to existing rock formations. BIG DEAL!

No. You did not read carefully the paper. It's much more than the mineral ratio. The binder can't be natural. See arguments in <1hpx387.1pvd54wf07mzpN%firstname@xxxxxxxxxxxx>.

The morphology of concrete is very distinctive
I have not managed to find one single piece of morphological evidence.

One again: It is not concrete like we know it (based on cement). Thus it does not behave like concrete.

Concrete is moulded, it traps foreign bodies such as insects and twigs and broken bits of cloth, leather, wood. When it forms it takes on the shape of the shuttering and often leaves an impression of the grain of the wooden shuttering. It leaves air pockets which form bubbles in its structure. Despite this not one shred of morphological evidence has been offered!!!

Davidovits showed in his reproduction that there is no prints of the wooden moulds. But he apparently observed foreign bodies and bubble. though, I can't judge I've never seen the pictures.

Personally I feel Barsoum is trying to "big-up" the Egyptians.

Re: Scientist Says Concrete Was Used in Pyramids

What would be his agenda?

--

Florian

"Tout est au mieux dans le meilleur des mondes possibles"

Voltaire vs Leibniz

.