

Re: Scientist Says Concrete Was Used in Pyramids

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-12/msg00407.html>

- *From:* firstname@xxxxxxxxxxxx (Florian)
 - *Date:* Sat, 9 Dec 2006 21:27:37 +0100
-

Eric Stevens <eric.stevens@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

No. You did not read carefully the paper. It's much more than the mineral ratio. The binder can't be natural. See arguments in <1hpx387.1pvd54wf07mzpN%firstname@xxxxxxxx>.

I would be careful with that one. It's just like arguing that nuclear reactors can't be natural.

See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Natural_nuclear_fission_reactor

I don't follow your thinking. What could be the link between the analysis showing that the binder is different than the one found in the Stones from the Tura and the Makkatan quarries, showing that the chemistry of the binder is not natural, and a natural fission reactor?

Florian wrote:

One again: It is not concrete like we know it (based on cement). Thus it does not behave like concrete.

I got some information about that in the french science magazine "Science et Vie". The joints get their final look only months after the stones are mold, when the blocks shrink upon drying.

--
Florian

"Tout est au mieux dans le meilleur des mondes possibles"
Voltaire vs Leibniz

.