

Re: temple/pyramid plans

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-12/msg00951.html>

- *From:* "Tom McDonald" <kiltmac@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 28 Dec 2006 06:40:50 -0800
-

Kendall K. Down wrote:

In message <1167264776.401209.304560@xx>
"Tom McDonald" <kiltmac@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

[Rude, ignorant top-posting corrected]

Good for you, Tom. I just ignore top posters after one warning.

I felt a little guilty about jumping on Chris so hard. I assumed (and you know what that gets you) that Chris was relatively experienced on Usenet. One of the advantages of Google Groups is that it makes it easy to check a person's posting history. Turns out Chris had only posted four or so times to a computer group ere he set foot in s.a. So the default position for me to have taken, knowing that, was that he needed education, not being fallen upon from a great height.

I think Chris may have assumed that s.a. was a place where a simple question would get a simple answer. Of course, that does happen. However, as your post intimated, folks who have significant knowledge in a field can often see potential problems underlying apparently simple questions. And they may take the time to suggest that the questioner go beyond the simple question to what might be an underlying trap. You and David did that; Chris apparently objected so strongly to your tone that he missed the message.

I hope Chris thinks this over and applies it to future forays into Usenet.

.