

Re: India archaeological measurments [was: Re: temple/pyramid plans]

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2006-12/msg00961.html>

- *From:* "Tom McDonald" <kiltmac@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 28 Dec 2006 16:09:05 -0800
-

Chris wrote:

Hi Tom,

Thanks, I was posting from Google Groups and was not aware I was "top-posting". I just hit reply at the bottom and assumed it was the right button. Hopefully this reply appears in the right spot...?

Nope, still top-posted, as Uwe noted and corrected.

In Google Groups, you have to click on 'Show Options' (to the right of the prior poster's name). This gives you another menu of choices. You want to choose the 'Reply' (first choice on the left). This gives access to the entire prior post, and you can fit your comments in where they make most sense. If you are making a general comment, make it below the prior post.

If you are only replying to part of the prior post, please delete the part(s) you are not replying to, and mark the deletion somehow. I use <snip>; others use [...]; while some use ... (which is easiest for you, but can be overlooked by a reader).

The purpose for cutting extraneous parts is that posts can become achingly, mind-numbingly long if you do not. The purpose for marking your <snip> is that if you do not, you might find yourself accused of trying to avoid an issue. If your <snip> is there, it is clear that you were consciously not addressing that part.

Does anyone have an interest in sites in India? They don't have to be sacred. But I am genuinely interested in understanding more about the sizes and units of measurements that were prominent in India. Stella Kamrisch does not go into that level of detail. And while the two resources I referenced have excellent plans for many important sites, they do not go into the level of detail I am curious about.

Re: India archaeological measurments [was: Re: temple/pyramid plans]

Are you interested in Mohenjodaro and Harappa, and culturall