

Re: Ancient latrine fuels debate at Qumran

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2007-01/msg00232.html>

- *From:* Doug Weller <dweller@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 10 Jan 2007 17:40:16 +0000
-

On 10 Jan 2007 06:35:17 -0800, in sci.archaeology, Carl wrote:

Doug Weller wrote:

On 9 Jan 2007 06:10:07 -0800, in sci.archaeology, Carl wrote:

Now, getting outside archaeology, the vast majority of scholars accept that Qumran was Essene, based mostly on textual analysis of DSS.

You're including Norman Golb, Itzhak Magen and Yuval Peleg?

I guess not.

...

Hi Doug,

I respect the arguments of Jodi Magness.

More good news

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/qumran_origin/message/5479

Excerpt –

"Here are excerpts from a very important review, in Dead Sea Discoveries 11, 3, of a book by the Israeli scholar Jodi Magness. The review, in unusually laudatory terms, marks a turning point in Qumran studies, the end of the last regime.

"The Archaeology of Qumran and the Dead Sea Scrolls, by Jodi Magness, Grand

Re: Ancient latrine fuels debate at Qumran

Rapids Michigan and Cambridge UK: Eerdmans, 2002. \$26. ISBN
0-8028-4589-4

Review by Magen Broshi and Hanan Eshel, Jerusalem, Bar Ilan University

...

(..) The reviewers are of the opinion that it is possible to prove on the basis of archaeological finds alone, even without the aid of the wealth of the manuscripts, that in the last 150 years of the Second Commonwealth Qumran was occupied by a monastic community.

(..) In her defense of de Vaux's identification of Qumran as a religious establishment, Jodi Magness is right. There is no doubt, the settlement was an Essene community.

Magen Broshi. Hanan Eshel Jerusalem, Bar Ilan University."

All the best,
David Christensen

Well, I guess you would. :-)

Does it not bother you that de Vaux ignored or ommitted a lot of the