

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2007–02/msg00221.html>

- *From:* "Steve Marcus" <smarcus_spamout_@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 11 Feb 2007 22:29:44 –0500
-

"Matt Giwer" <jull43@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:45cfd42d\\$0\\$28098\\$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:45cfd42d$0$28098$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Steve Marcus wrote:

"Matt Giwer" <jull43@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:45ce7e58\\$0\\$24776\\$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:45ce7e58$0$24776$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Steve Marcus wrote:

"Matt Giwer"
<jull43@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote in message
[news:45cd1af7\\$0\\$1400\\$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:45cd1af7$0$1400$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

George wrote:

On Feb 8,
4:38 pm,
Matt Giwer
<jul...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

joerevskel...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx
wrote:

"5,999,999"
<nighthawk6...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote
in
message
news:1170883133.777331.8400@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

The
heart

of
the
'Holocaust,'

Why
don't
you
and
Gwier
just
get
a
room
and
giggle
over
each
other's
denial
tactics.

You
know,
I
ignore
most
of
these
squabbles
because
they
bring
out
more
believers
than
when
showing
the
OT
is
nothing
but
a
collection
of
myths.
That
is
only
interesting

in
that
an
event
which
did
not
merit
even
a
footnote
in
the
major
first
person
texts
on
the
war,
those
by
Churchill,
Eisenhower,
DeGaulle.
It
draws
more
fanatical
believers
than
the
passing
observations
of
atheists
showing
quite
clearly
to
the
fanatics
this
is
is
more
important
than
the
denial
of

the
divinity
of
Jesus
Christ.
I
find
that
strange
but
obviously
holocaustianism
is
treated
as
a
religion
with
sacred
truths
of
its
own.

Typical nazi
denial
dressed up
to resemble
a real post.
Go to
<http://www.yale.edu/lawweb/avalon/imt/imt.htm>
where they
have
the Trial
transcripts..

And not one word on gas
chambers. Thank you for
making the case.

Indeed, thank YOU for making the case.
From the very same site, the
findings regarding War Crimes and Crimes
Against Humanity, as read (in
part) by Judge John J. Parker, one of the
judges at Nuremberg:

<http://www.yale.edu/lawweb/avalon/imt/proc/judwarcr.htm#civilian>

"The evidence relating to war crimes has
been overwhelming, in its

volume and its detail. It is impossible for this Judgment adequately to review it, or to record the mass of documentary and oral evidence that has been presented. The truth remains that war crimes were committed on a vast scale, never before seen in the history of war. ...

MURDER AND ILL-TREATMENT OF CIVILIAN POPULATION

....

A certain number of the concentration camps were equipped with gas chambers for the wholesale destruction of the inmates, and with furnaces for the burning of the bodies. Some of them were in fact used for the extermination of Jews as part of the "final solution" of the Jewish problem. Most of the non-Jewish inmates were used for labour, although the conditions under which they worked made labour and death almost synonymous terms."

I suppose you believe that if you lie often enough, you can get away with it. This is but one (minor) example of the lying engaged in by Holocaust deniers such as yourself, Giwer.

I suppose that people will in fact some day read what I wrote and usually what I respond to.

The claim was there were confessions to gas chambers in Nuremberg. I said there was none in the transcription. You have not produced anything from the transcript. Nor have you produced a statement by an SS member to the effect that there were gas chambers. You have produced something that is not footnoted and cannot be determined to be other than the invention of the writer.

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office

Lying asshole, what was quoted was a finding by a Judge of the Court. Do you suppose that it was based on nothing at all? It was based on testimony, from liberating military, from liberated camp survivors, and from German prisoners, as well as on documentary evidence.

So me the testimony it was based on if you believe it was based upon testimony. And then show it was by SS types. If you do not do that then you don't have jack.

Hmmm... First your claim was "[A]nd not one word on gas chambers." Now, when shown the findings of the Nuremberg court, you want to see testimony from "SS types." Are you saying, for example, that testimony from US soldiers who liberated the camps is unworthy of belief?

As for what a judge could write, I have read the rules governing the Nuremberg proceedings and there is nothing prohibiting the judge from inventing anything he wanted as there was no appeal from lies in the findings.

Except, fool, that there were other judges, court transcripts, newspaper reporters, and the whole world was watching. Do you seriously assert that a judge made up a finding out of whole cloth?

Of course I have quit hoping believers will ever be bright enough to respond to the subject at hand.

You have a set, asshole, to accuse someone else of not being "bright enough." You are betting into a royal flush holding a six-high hand, and the only people who think that your hand is a winner are clods like you who haven't two neurons in what passes for your brains. You live, instead, on bigotry and hatred. It's all you are capable of.

When you produce what was claimed you will have a case. Until then you don't have jack.

As noted above, it was YOUR claim that the Yale website contained not one word regarding gas chambers. That claim has been proven to be wrong. Period. End of YOUR credibility. End of story.

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office 6

Apart from
the
excuvation
of the bone
ash deposits
this has
nothing
to
do with
archaeology

Apart from the absence of
the thousands of cubic yards
of "bone ash"
required not existing it has
everything to do with the
same kind of
sham archaeology that
constantly finds Noah's Ark
and David's Palace
and Solomon's Stables and
all the rest of the crap
believers claim
exist but is unknown to
science.

--

If the US recognizes the
right of Jews to Palestine
how can it deny
Utah to
the Latter Day Saints?

-- The Iron Webmaster,

3739

nizkor

<http://www.giwersworld.org/nizkook/nizkook.phtml>

Zionism

<http://www.giwersworld.org/disinfo/disinfo.phtml>

a4

Easily. The Latter Day Saints are about a
millenium late in settling
Utah as compared to Native Americans. On
the other hand, the Jewish
People lived in and around the present
location of Israel more than a
millenium prior to the birth of Christ. Nor
have the Latter Day Saints

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office

been the victims of genocide.

There is no evidence there were any Jews or Judeans prior to the 2nd c.

BC. The people and the religion appear out of no where without the least archaeological or historical evidence of existence prior to that century. They appear to be an invented religion like the LDS.

<http://www.allaboutarchaeology.org/merneptah-stele-faq.htm> gives evidence from the 13th century BC for the existence of Israel as a people.

There is nothing on that a non-believer can find to support that assertion.

Fancy words. What do they mean? Clearly, there was a people known as Israel, unless of course you believe that a 13th century BC was in on the plot to convince 20th and 21st century people that a Holocaust that never happened actually happened.

Even the translations of it vary and most call it a place. Further it recounts a war against Libya. That does not explain why believers want to find names of places to the east of Egypt on it.

Wrong again, schweinhund. "The black granite stela primarily commemorates a victory in a campaign against the Libu and Meshwesh Libyans and their Sea People allies, but its final two lines refer to a prior military campaign in Canaan in which Merneptah states that he defeated Ashkelon, Gezer, Yanoam and Israel among others.[1] " From:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Merneptah_Stele

I leave it to you to go to the link and check the footnote. You'll find that the quote is from a very authoritative source.

http://www.momentmag.com/olam/Apr06/MOM-2006-04_mazar.html is one of many links that can be found that describe, at a minimum, artifacts illustrating the existence of an Israelite earlier than 2nd BC (that is,

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office 8

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office

one doesn't have to believe in either the historicity of King David or the religious authenticity of the Bible to understand the significance of the bulla dating to the late 7th century BC.

For some 50–60 years most people have agreed to the "out of Africa" theory and have thus agreed that humans have always lived in bibleland since they left Africa. Also all primates once lived there including Homo Erectus, Gibbons and Orangutans. Showing that people lived there does not show they were the mythical people in the bible stories.

One does not need to believe that any of the religious aspects of the bible are true in order to decide that the mention of a person in the bible and the existence of a bulla naming that person in order to be very impressed that the bulla is evidence of a historical person who was named in the bible. That person was Jewish. The bulla is dated 7th century BC. You can't tap dance around that by mentioning human migrations dating, at a minimum, to hundreds of thousands of years prior to the date of the bulla.

This is SCI.archaeology and thus the rules of science apply. That means a book of magic and miracles has no standing whatsoever. Get used to it.

It's the bulla that has scientific standing. It is dated to the 7th century, and names a Jewish person. Get over it, schweinhund.

That aside, having lived in a place many centuries ago is not a claim to the place today. That they all left voluntarily or converted to Islam or Christianity does not support any such claim. If there are those dumb enough to believe the legend that they were expelled by Rome, then they have a gripe with Italy not the Palestinians.

Let's see. You argue that having lived many centuries ago in a place and leaving voluntarily does not support a claim to that place. So the Palestinian claim to today's Israel is based on ...?

Their claim is to their private property which is independent of who has sovereignty over the land. They owned their personal and family land. Europeans showed up, drove them off and stole it. They have never

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office 9

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office

abandoned their claim. They want it back. They have every right to the use of deadly force to gain the return of their private property from the thieves. Holding stolen property even though not the original thief does not mean innocence rather equal guilt in the crime.

One problem with your comments is that they neglect to mention that virtually all of the so-called Palestinians who were in the land of Israel left voluntarily, not because the Israelis drove them away. In fact, they left at the behest of the Arab League, who warned them that either the Jews would slaughter them, or that they would be killed in the coming war to drive the Jews into the sea. A second problem is that virtually none of the so-called Palestinians "owned" the land; most were living on land owned by absentee landlords.

The third problem is that in the British Mandate and the Balfour Declaration of 1917, Britain recognised Jewish demand for a homeland, and the Jewish population increased from 10% in 1918 to 30% in 1936. In 1937 it was decided by Britain to partition Palestine with Jewish and Arab states; however, this idea was dropped as WWII loomed. After WWII Jewish immigration grew to such an extent that Britain, trying to avert confrontation between Arab and Jew slowed the process resulting in Jewish terrorism against British troops. The Palestine problem was submitted to the UN in 1947 who passed the resolution of partition. Britain ended the mandate on 14th May 1948 when the independent state of Israel in Palestine was established. In spite of the UN plan of 1947 Palestine ceased to exist as a political entity after the Arab Israeli war of 1948."

Thus, the land in question was under the sovereignty of Britain, and the UN then partitioned the land, with the world voting to create the state of Israel. It seems to me that no one stole anything; the Turks lost sovereignty, and the new sovereign partitioned the land per UN vote. And of course those Palestinians who chose to remain in Israel after the partition, were accorded full Israeli citizenship.

In short, your view of history is distorted to say the least, and bullshit to call a spade a spade.

Having lived on the land (in most cases not a claim to having owned the land per se) and having left it in 1948–1949 VOLUNTARILY as a result of them being told to do so by their Arab Bretheren who warned that they would be killed in the war to push the Jews into the sea. In fact, today's Palestinians weren't Palestinians in 1948, were they, Matty?

Leaving to avoid a war zone does not in any manner mean forfeiting property rights.

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office 10

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office

You are assuming that those who left did so at the insistence or behest of the Israeli Jews. You are, however, wrong.

That said Tom Segev, Benny Morris and several other ISRAELI JEWS have using the records of the government of Israel to show they were forced to leave by JEWS and that narrative is a pack of lies suitable only for children too young to face the reality that their parents are thieves and murderers.

Citations??

But what are facts to morons like you, Giwer? You needn't bother to respond to this post, Giwer, you Nazi son of a bitch, because my present post says all that needs saying with respect to you and your ilk. You've been caught in a lie, your credibility (rather any tattered thread thereof which you imagined that you had) is gone, and I won't waste further time with you.

You are clearly ignorant of history and archaeology. You have some idiot delusion that members of a religion have a claim to land because members of that religion happened to be there a couple thousand years ago.

They certainly have a right to purchase the land. And they certainly have a legal right pursuant to international law, since the country was established per UN vote.

The established sovereignty only. It did not have the power to take away private property and in fact did not do so but demanded the rightful owners be permitted to return to their property.

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office 11

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office

Wrong.

Genetic studies have been done. They are conclusive. The Palestinians and the Sephardic Jews are the same people. The Ashkenazi from eastern Europe are not related to them. Even their ancestors never lived there even if that were a recognized basis for a claim to the personal property of other people.

Genetics notwithstanding, the Palestinian people and the Israelis are not the same people.

Genetics rules. This is a SCIENCE newsgroup. If you want to talk mythology find an appropriate newsgroup.

My ancestors are Polish and Hungarian. Genetically, we are the same people. Politically we are not. Culturally, we are not. We do not even uniformly share the same religion. Being Jewish is a religious thing. There are Ethiopian Jews, for example, who share nothing at all genetically with European Jews.

The former have been taught from birth, and their ancestors were taught from birth, that their religion dictates that Jews are for killing. The latter have been taught, from birth, that their heritage and their religion is "Thou Shalt Not Kill."

If they were taught not to kill why did they murder so many tens of thousands of Palestinians as warnings to force 750,000 to flee Palestine? That is from Israeli records and established by Jewish Israeli historians.

Citations to prove the claim of murder of many tens of thousands of Palestinians. And I mean credible citations, schweinhund.

Come back when you are old enough to face what is taught in Israeli

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office 12

Re: Auschwitz – the "death factory" with an orchestra, theatre, hospital, cinema, complaints office
gradeschools these days.

LOL. In schools throughout all Muslim lands, school children are taught that Jews bake bread with Muslim blood, that Jews stole Palestine, that Jews are subhumans and the enemies of Allah. In schools in Israel, Jews are taught history, which includes the history of the Jews in so-called Palestine, in which pogroms rivaling those in Eastern Europe were regularly initiated by Arab Muslims.

Steve

—

The above posting is neither a legal opinion nor legal advice, because we do not have an attorney–client relationship, and should not be construed as either. This posting does not represent the opinion of my employer, but is merely my personal view. To reply, delete _spamout_ and replace with the numeral 3

.