

Re: Better example

# Re: Better example

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2007-03/msg00132.html>

---

- *From:* "oriel36" <[geraldkelleher@xxxxxxxx](mailto:geraldkelleher@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 7 Mar 2007 03:42:58 -0800
- 

On Mar 6, 2:11 pm, "o8TY" <[o...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:o...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

"oriel36" <[geraldkelle...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:geraldkelle...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message

[news:1173175625.440425.281610@xx](mailto:news:1173175625.440425.281610@xx)

On Mar 3, 4:40 pm, "o8TY" <[o...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:o...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

"oriel36" <[geraldkelle...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:geraldkelle...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message

[news:1172576292.368709.202270@xx](mailto:news:1172576292.368709.202270@xx)

On Feb 26, 9:43 pm, Doug Weller  
<[dwel...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:dwel...@xxxxxxxx)>  
wrote:

On Tue, 27 Feb 2007  
09:27:40 +1300, in  
sci.archaeology, Eric  
Stevens  
wrote:

On 26 Feb  
2007  
11:57:00  
-0800,  
"oriel36"  
<[geraldkelle...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:geraldkelle...@xxxxxxxx)>  
wrote:

Re: Better example

----- snip  
-----

I  
can  
do  
no  
more  
nor  
would  
do  
no  
more  
.

In fact, you  
have done  
far more  
than many  
here would  
require of

you.

Indeed. I think he has  
completed, even exceeded,  
his mission here

and

can

retire knowing that he could  
do no more.

Doug

--

Doug Weller --  
A Director and Moderator  
of The Hall of

Re: Better example

Ma'ath<http://www.hallofmaat.com>

Doug's Archaeology

Site:<http://www.ramtops.co.uk>

Amun –

co-owner/co-moderator<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Amun/>

It amounts to the fact that none of you are capable of accurately reflecting recent history never mind that of older civilisations and turn what way you will, it does not get intellectually lower or worse than that. You have the remarkable story of Longitude and how clocks resolved them before you yet you adhere to a celestial sphere concept which draws the wrong conclusions for the Earth's motions to the Sun, specifically the pandemic institutional failure wrapped up in a single value –

<http://hypertextbook.com/facts/1999/JennyChen.shtml>

I see that your crowd are about to force the fictitious Da Vinci code into existence just as the mathematical theorists forced Well's 1898 "Time Machine" into existence through the 1905 'relativity' cartoon concept but then again it does reflect this silly era where there is no dignity or respect for ourselves let alone those of different eras.

## Re: Better example

I could do no more here because a group of people who argue that a location rotates to noon in exactly 24 hours, and you most certainly do, cannot possibly move on to more complex topics. At least you know now that your words and actions are counter-productive and pretentious and while mocking our ancestors and the gorgeous systems they left, the joy of seeing how the actual systems were devised mocks you right back and I cannot think of any greater insult than that.

The anti-intuitive empirical agenda designed by and for mathematicians takes advantage of the intricate working principles of astronomy and twists them in vacuous wordplays with no substantial content, doing what they do best, the more proficient can draw any conclusion they like by creating what history they want. This is what happened with the relationship between clocks, axial rotation and terrestrial longitudes, a system which begins with a human devised principle of the equable 24 hour day and then evolves to the practicalities of axial rotation and Longitude determination as a consequence of the Copernican discoveries.

You lot had the intuitive intelligence beaten out of you, everyone does which is why it is nearly impossible to get anyone to affirm the basic principle of the 24 hour/360 degree equivalency. It is that intelligence which is missing today, that rare intelligence which courses its way through all the great creative actions and discoveries of man.

## Re: Better example

For the French guy who responded, here are the words of Blaise Pascal who expresses the necessary balance which is now lost to the anti-intuitive empirical agenda –

". The difference between the mathematical and the intuitive mind.– In the one, the principles are palpable, but removed from ordinary use; so that for want of habit it is difficult to turn one's mind in that direction: but if one turns it thither ever so little, one sees the principles fully, and one must have a quite inaccurate mind who reasons wrongly from principles so plain that it is almost impossible they should escape notice.

But in the intuitive mind the principles are found in common use and are before the eyes of everybody. One has only to look, and no effort is necessary; it is only a question of good eyesight, but it must be good, for the principles are so subtle and so numerous that it is almost impossible but that some escape notice. Now the omission of one principle leads to error; thus one must have very clear sight to see all the principles and, in the next place, an accurate mind not to draw false deductions from known principles."

<http://www.sacred-texts.com/phi/pascal/pens.txt>

## Re: Better example

Once a person appreciates the two step process where the 24 hour day was created through the noon correction based on variations in the natural daily cycle and how that average was transferred to the principle that axial rotation can be considered constant at 15 degrees per hour making 24 hours/360 degrees, they will feel repulsed by the phony correlation which emerged in the late 17th century.

I counted roughly 24 fully-splayed hand-widths held at fully-extended

arms

distance to one complete revolution ie one circle. Therefore the splay of one finger to the next approximates 12 minutes of arc.

Am I brilliant or what?– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

It has been said that we are immigrants from the past, our existence being conditioned by those before us and for right or for wrong, for good and for bad, it is our responsibility to represent the lives of these people in the most dignified manner possible.

No doubt there is a lot of effort going into picking through artifacts to reconstruct the conditions which these human beings lived their lives and how they shaped events that followed however the great timekeeping tradition, representing the evolving efforts of many civilisations over long periods is now neglected and vandalised even as you will still use them today and for the rest of your existence.

If archaeologists cannot be trusted to represent recent history, and the history of how clocks keep in sync is well known through the Longitude story, how do they expect people to believe them in other

Re: Better example

matters of more ancient history ?.

I have seen archaeologists, who must have come across sundials and other methods of determining the daily cycle, turn away from the incredible achievement which creates the equable 24 hour cycle from the natural variations in the daily cycle and then the heliocentric adaption which keeps clocks in sync with axial rotation at precisely 24 hours/360 degrees and adopt a phony correlation of 23 hours 56 minutes 04 seconds/360 degrees.

Do not do the great men who built the timekeeping systems any favors by trying to comment on them even as you use their creations and be thrilled that you can congratulate yourself that you can say anything and draw any conclusion you want without objection. The luxury of indiscipline makes history wither into fiction and that is not and never can be archaeology.

So how many of your fully-splayed hand-widths held at fully-extended arms distance do you count to a complete circle?— Hide quoted text —

— Show quoted text — Hide quoted text —

— Show quoted text —

You can't even comment on recent history surrounding the timekeeping systems right so you are hardly good enough to rise to the geometers of antiquity.

The angle of inclination of the Great Pyramid, 51 degrees 50 minutes is a specific value that contains a vast amount of information by virtue of being a compromise value for two different proportions. You have little feel for geometry, terrestrial or astronomical and it shows up in the way you dither around and try to substitute substance with silly trivia.

You could not even support the value which keeps clocks in sync with axial rotation at precisely 24 hours/360 degrees even though the Longitude story is well known therefore I have no regard for what you think, what you do or what you conclude for those who stand by an act of vandalism would not dare approach the careful and brilliant work of our ancestors.

Re: Better example