

# Re: Barbara Thiering Refuted!

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2007-03/msg00150.html>

---

- *From:* [me@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:me@xxxxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Thu, 08 Mar 2007 07:53:58 +0000
- 

Eric Stevens wrote:

It might be a rhetorical question but you are changing the subject. We started off with one person who may or may not want to learn to read pesher.

That's not true. The post that started this thread reads:

"Science is based on the idea that one scientist can replicate and confirm the work of another scientist. I publicly challenge Barbara Thiering or any of her followers to explain her "pesher method" so that a qualified scholar can apply it the the transfiguration passage in Mark Chapter 9 and independently come up with the "hidden pesher meaning" of Jesus stripping the Jewish High Priest naked and carrying out the atonement ritual in his place."

In the english language, the phrase "So that a qualified scholar" in the paragraph above means any qualified scholar, not one particular qualified scholar.

Could it be that you are confusing this thread with some other thread that started off with one person who may or may not want to learn to "read pesher" using Thiering's method?

The fact remains that *\*nobody\** can test Thiering's "pesher method" to see whether they get the same results she does. Only Thiering can work the method, and she has not revealed enough of the details for anyone else to replicate her results. If she ever reveals that as-yet-secret information, *\*then\** we shall see whether anyone wishes to learn her method.