

Robert Best is best on Noah (historical figure) and the Flood (local)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2007-07/msg00093.html>

- *From:* Carl <pchristainsen@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 03 Jul 2007 07:57:29 -0700
-

On Jul 2, 9:27 pm, Tom McDonald <kilt...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Carl wrote:

<snip>

For the sake of getting our thread I started back on track, here are extracts from the Robert Best website on archaeology per se. Way cool for a change would it be were somebody to make a decision... just buy Best's book off amazon.com and respond back to us, especially with an informed opinion on how well backed up be Best's archaeology and entire reconstruction.

Have you read the book? If so, why not bring an issue you find interesting from the book here to talk about, referencing the book? Just asking us to buy the book and review it, when it does not seem to be of interest to many (any?) posters here is presumptuous. Perhaps even rude.

<snip>

Your suggestion won't work; what would work is for some sci.archer (I don't bother to say somebody INTERESTED because we are all big boys and girls not in kindergarten anymore) to ask me DIRECT question(s).

That way our abundant foolish NG conversational static is sidestepped because I would know 100% that the questioner(s) is/are taking me seriously and IS/ARE interested in the topic.

Robert Best is best on Noah (historical figure) and the Flood (local)

Also, I confide I absolutely and personally hate doing, or see others doing, CONTRADICTION of somebody else's point BECAUSE I am fully aware that some posters don't even read follow-thru.

(In certain other venues than this specific thread on sci.arch free-flowing contradiction in conversation is OK)

Thus, in our beloved thread SOMEBODY initially said the Flood was NOT WORLDWIDE (true because it was local, not even strictly regional) but that specific person failed to CLICK the Robert Best website to find out that Best agrees. I had expected people (at least some, one even) to pore over Best for clues/details on their own without even the hint of my scolding.

Bottom line – would somebody get the ball rolling by asking me a question or a pool of questions?

BTW, it takes little effort for one to avoid being deliberately rude. "They" (or a professor of mine way back when originally from Communist Czechoslovakia) used to make the point that Stalin was rude in seminary.

.