

Re: Were one to read Robert Best and Walter Reinhold..., our Flood is local with Noah an historical figure

Re: Were one to read Robert Best and Walter Reinhold..., our Flood is local with Noah an historical figure

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2007-07/msg00225.html>

- *From:* Carl <pchristainsen@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 07 Jul 2007 12:42:29 -0700
-

On Jul 7, 2:21 pm, Tom McDonald <kilt...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Tedd Jacobs wrote:

"Carl" wrote...

On Jul 6, 4:13 pm, "Tedd Jacobs"
<tjac...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"Carl" wrote...

<snip>

[...]

Do we like the proposal that
Noah was a king in Sumer
around 2900 BC?

no. do you have a reference to support this
assertion?

p.s. mark your snips.

Please pay attention to the 2 links on Best and Reinhold...
respectively I already
gave in our Thread here on sci.arch that I started.

Re: Were one to read Robert Best and Walter Reinhold..., our Flood is local with Noah an historical figure

Re: Were one to read Robert Best and Walter Reinhold..., our Flood is local with Noah an historical figure

If you click them, I believe you will agree that I indeed have
"a
reference to support
this assertion".

no, i dont agree.

Carl is presently having the same frustrating experience in talk.origins that he is having here. Apparently, two complete Usenet newsgroups, both populated by some truly gifted people, cannot seem to grasp Carl's gifts, nor appreciate that he offers wonders beyond their ken. If they would only give themselves over to his Socratic ministrations.

I wonder whether Marc Washington might be moved to converse with Carl? They are both prophets without honor in their adopted newsgroups.– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

On the contrary I was perfectly clear in my language on talk.origins. It was NOT frustrating for me at all. You were deceived by appearances.

Why?

It was clear most did not read carefully what I wrote in the first place.

Yet, one guy said my Robert Best link was "cool".

So, I did a double pump – # 1 on Flood, # 2 on Creation

But, on # 2 one guy asked me a question. That was enough of an opening for me to answer him – he had not read carefully my post and I showed him specifically where he went wrong.

Bottom line – it's all about good and clear communication. To be diplomatic, polite, and firm is best. Patience is required so that people end up on the same wavelength.

I recommend we learn how to disagree without being disagreeable. Of course, if there isn't a serious difference in the first place, then there is no problem.

Re: Were one to read Robert Best and Walter Reinhold..., our Flood is local with Noah an historical figure

Re: Were one to read Robert Best and Walter Reinhold..., our Flood is local with Noah an historical figure

I wonder if Tom McDonald would be moved to converse
with David Carl Christainsen.

.

Re: Were one to read Robert Best and Walter Reinhold..., our Flood is local with Noah an historical figure