

Re: France's earliest winery found

Re: France's earliest winery found

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2007-07/msg00962.html>

- *From:* "Uwe Müller" <uwemueller@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 24 Jul 2007 17:26:54 +0200
-

"Jack Linthicum" <jacklinthicum@xxxxxxxxxx> schrieb im Newsbeitrag <news:1184887668.181336.257630@xx>
On Jul 19, 2:46 pm, "Uwe Müller" <uwemuel...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"Jack Linthicum" <jacklinthi...@xxxxxxxxxx> schrieb im

Newsbeitrag<news:1184780992.366540.101030@xx>

On Jul 17, 9:22 am, "Uwe Müller" <uwemuel...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:> "Jack

Linthicum" <jacklinthi...@xxxxxxxxxx> schrieb im

Newsbeitrag<news:1184496657.146706.21580@xx>> On

Jul 15, 3:26 am, "Uwe Müller" <uwemuel...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:>

"Hayabusa" <peregr...@xxxxxxxxxx> schrieb im

Newsbeitrag<news:uikf93h09spvj1pl16qoe5isc3k6p9gn4a@xxxxxxxxxx>

On Fri, 13 Jul 2007 14:53:34 +0200, "Uwe Müller" <uwemuel...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Sorry, must have missed your answer.

Re: France's earliest winery found

Re: France's earliest winery found

snip >

Wouldn't be easier to just say "I don't agree with anything anyone else writes on any subject" and leave it at that?

If you pretend, just for a minute, you had an open mind, and could treat your position as you treat some kooks here, you'd have to agree that there is no nil zero hard evidence for wine being traded in the 5th–4th c. BC up the Rhone.

Instead you rely on supposed authorities, who support the idea of a wine trade, as the kooks do, without any evidence given and without any argument why they believe that is true.

If you believe an unknown ship in an unknown location from an unknown time is evidence for a two million gallons a year wine trade through Marseille and a trade monopoly across the whole of France in the 4th–5th c. BC, I would put you in the same category as Inger, Carl and Matt and refrain from commenting your exquisite pearls of wisdom in the future.

hth

have fun

Uwe Mueller

.