

Re: David Frawley from Google Scholar

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2007-08/msg00098.html>

- *From:* "Peter Alaca" <p.alaca@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 4 Aug 2007 01:33:09 +0200
-

David <pchristainsen@xxxxxxxx > wrote:

On Aug 3, 5:23 pm, "Peter Alaca" <p.al...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

David <pchristain...@xxxxxxxx > wrote:

On Aug 3, 12:40 pm, "Peter Alaca"
<p.al...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Carl/David <pchristain...@xxxxxxxx >
wrote:

"Peter Alaca" wrote:

Carl/David
wrote:

Searles
O'Dubhain"
wrote:

Carl/David
wrote

"Searles
O'Dubhain"
wrote:

...
I
enjoy
reading
many
of
DavidFrawley'sphilosophical
and
spiritual
discussions

Re: David Frawley from Google Scholar

of
the
Vedas.
Hopefully,
the
historical
aspect
and
archaeological
aspects
of
Vedic
history
will
achieve
greater
clarity
as
scientific
methods
are
applied
to
the
records
of
each
of
those
scientific
disciplines.
It
is
difficult
to
cross-reference
traditions
to
scientific
data.
When
such
things
occur
in
an
objective
manner
is
when
IMO

Re: David Frawley from Google Scholar

the
greatest
advances
occur
in
philosophy,
historical
understanding
and
archaeological
discoveries.

That
being
said,
I'd
love
to
see
unbiased
information,
data,
theories,
explorations
and
analyses
on
the
India
sub
continent
as
I
believe
it
to
be
one
of
the
cradles
of
early
civilization
and
scientific
discovery.

Re: David Frawley from Google Scholar

I
recommend
–

In
Search
of
the
Cradle
of
Civilization:
New
Light
on
Ancient

India
By
Georg
Feuerstein,
Subhash
Kak,
David
Frawley

<http://books.google.com/books?id=evOZEW>

"In
this
book,
the
authors
show
that
the
ancient
Indians
were
no
primitives,
but
possessed
a
high
culture,
which
influenced
the
evolution
of
the
western
world."

Re: David Frawley from Google Scholar

I've
read
that
book.
It
seemed
to
me
that
the
authors
were
biased
in
their
presentation
mainly
it
seemed
because
of
all
the
biases
that
Indian
history
has
suffered
at
the
hands
of
Western
European
and
American
historians.
I
think
their
case
would
be
better
served
in
an
archaeological
or
historical

Re: David Frawley from Google Scholar

venue
to
not
lean
as
heavily
on
the
Vedas
without
additional
objective
supporting
evidence.
I
seems
to
me
that
they
did
make
a
good
case
for
reconsidering
what
we
think
we
know
about
Indian
history
(now
I
wish
I
had
the
book
ready
to
hand
to
read
again
as
it
is

Re: David Frawley from Google Scholar

now
at
home
in
my
library
about
1200
miles
from
my
present
location).

The
link
I
gave
is
a
Google
Book
with
excerpts.

How can
you
recommend
a book you
did not
read?

Not so dear Peter,
What an idle way to spend
the summer? Do you ever
have any fun?
Try Brazilian bossa nova,
samba, and dance; live a
little.

The question was:
How can you recommend a book you did not
read?

But your question was completely misguided; you assumed
the worst as
usual.

It is not the truth and I want you to know it.
I read completely the excerpts and I recommend the book.

Re: David Frawley from Google Scholar

That means that my question was not misguided. You did not read the book so you cannot recommend it and you obviously cannot discuss it with someone who did read it.

And you dare to say
"Neither Tom nor Doug contributed much to any worthwhile discussion of Mehrgarh."
and
"Daryl [...] dropped out for a reason that comes across as phony."

Next time, please get into the flow of conversation.

Start posting archaeology, since this is sci.archaeology.

—

p.a.

Don't expect people to take you seriously because you show no knowledge of what the book is about.

Yet, since you are such a bright researcher, you could easily read the excerpts to get a clue.

But, that would take homework and an interest in the subject.

So far, you have shown me next to nothing worthwhile.

Fuck off, you lying troll

.