

Re: Confirmed: Pre-flood giants of Bolivia wrote proto Sumerian.

Re: Confirmed: Pre-flood giants of Bolivia wrote proto Sumerian.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2007-08/msg00813.html>

- *From:* rick_sobie@xxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Fri, 31 Aug 2007 10:32:32 -0700
-

On Aug 31, 6:57 am, Eric Stevens <eric.stev...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Thu, 30 Aug 2007 20:55:46 -0700, rick_so...@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Aug 31, 4:42 am, The Kat <ne...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Thu, 30 Aug 2007 20:13:37 -0700,
rick_so...@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

The pieces are all coming together now.

ONLY if you're a complete dumbass.

Oh puhlease, you can't make evidence go away just like that.

The facts are there for all to see, and that's just the tip of the iceberg.

The history books will have to be rewritten, but that is progress.

The recent data which has falsified plate tectonic theory such as the remains of Tarascosaurus found in France clearly shows that

1. The Pangea theory is completely wrong.
2. There is no Laurasia.
3. There is/was no Godswanaland

Re: Confirmed: Pre-flood giants of Bolivia wrote proto Sumerian.

Re: Confirmed: Pre–flood giants of Bolivia wrote proto Sumerian.

4. There was no Tethys Sea.

rather the earth has expanded as is clearly shown by merely removing the rock in the ocean floor in stages according to age as is shown in this animation...

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oJfBSc6e7QQ&mode=related&search=>

How do the supporters of this theory explain how the tectonic plates avoided tearing when they flattened out to accomodate themselves to an earth of increasingly large diameter?

Never mind. It has absolutely nothing to do with archaeology as far as I can see.

and recent data by Louis Franks of the university of Iowa confirms that ice and snow from space is entering the atmosphere and in all probability was responsible for the Tunguska event, and for the knocking down of woolly mammoth left to freeze with grass in their mouths, and for the ice ages themselves, as the earth traversed through areas of space where the ice and snow rained onto it in great shall we say catastrophic amounts.

Sufficient amounts to plunge the earth, into a deep freeze.

<http://smallcomets.physics.uiowa.edu/pdf/>

<http://smallcomets.physics.uiowa.edu/>

Eric Stevens

It has everything to do with archeology because dating artifacts is a tricky business and so knowing how the whole process works is important.

A city discovered at 2,100 feet below the ocean, that is archeology. Explaining how it got there, well you tell me how it got there, when it is intact, not crumbled, covers an area of 7 sq. km and the ground it is sitting on, could only have sunk at a maximum rate of 16 cm per year. There is no evidence in the entire world of any area sinking faster than that. That is based on the fastest known decent in the

Re: Confirmed: Pre–flood giants of Bolivia wrote proto Sumerian.

Re: Confirmed: Pre-flood giants of Bolivia wrote proto Sumerian.

entire world. And that pegs it at 50,000 years old.
More realistically it is 1.3 million years old.

Oh sure people want to plug their ears and close their eyes and just make it go away, but this is not an out of place and time artifact.

This is a city.

With columns, which contain clear markings. Man made markings like the South American cross.

Why do I say possibly 1.3 million years old? Well it seems that it may not have sunk, since it is in a geologically stable area and the ground is not disturbed. The side scan radar shows that the streets are still parallel, the buildings square to each other etc.

And so then the only other option is that the ocean rose 2,100 feet since it was built.

And so then what other supporting evidence do we have for a civilization 1.3 million years old in the region?

How about footprints in volcanic rock, dated 1.3 mya in Mexico, along side footprints of dogs cats and farm animals?

How do you explain that in context with Mesopotamia as center of the universe?

"But Paul Renne, director of the Berkeley Geochronology Center and an adjunct professor of earth and planetary science at UC Berkeley, and his colleagues in Mexico and at Texas A&M University report in the Dec. 1 issue of Nature a new age for the rock: about 1.3 million years.

"You're really only left with two possibilities," Renne said. "One is that they are really old hominids – shockingly old – or they're not footprints."

Well if they are not foot prints, then why do they look like footprints? Are we now going to say, that just because they are hominid footprints, that they can't be what they look like?

Then why don't we say that the dog and cat prints are not dog and cat prints and the dinosaur prints we find everywhere are not dinosaur prints either.

Take a look at the prints. They are human footprints.

<http://www.mexicanfootprints.co.uk/>

http://www.eurekalert.org/pub_releases/2005-11/uoc--a4h112805.php

.

Re: Confirmed: Pre-flood giants of Bolivia wrote proto Sumerian.