

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2008–01/msg00288.html>

- *From:* "Lars Wilson" <siaxares@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 13 Jan 2008 18:31:35 –0600
-

"Matt Giwer" <jull43@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:4789f880\\$0\\$18429\\$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:4789f880$0$18429$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Lars Wilson wrote:

"Matt Giwer" <jull43@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:47884fe8\\$0\\$10999\\$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:47884fe8$0$10999$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Lars Wilson wrote:

Why are you such a gibbering fool as to claim there is history in the Old Testament?

Sorry but, with all due respect, you cannot prove a single thing in the Bible isn't true.

I hate to break it to you but archaeology is a science and in science anyone who makes a claim has to produce the evidence. Science has to prove nothing untrue which mean archaeology has to prove nothing untrue. If you claim there is history in the OT it is up to you to produce the evidence for it. If you do not you don't have jack.

EXACTLY. And I've done JUST THAT! That is with the RC14 dating for the fall of Rehov. Let me explain. They found out that if they tested grain samples multiple times that the random highest results piles up right in the middle of the range results. The range for 95.4% probability is from 918–823. You can see from the clear "pyramid" graph that the highest results are in the very middle of this range. That is, the highest results include the date of 871 BCE, which is the

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

middle of the range of 918–823. This destructive level has been linked with Shishak's invasion by various archaeologists.

<http://www.geocities.com/siaxares/REHOVPROB.JPG> (comparison 871 vs 925 BCE)

http://www.geocities.com/ed_maruyama/rehov872.html

So the FACTs are in. The best dating for Shishak's invasion matches 871 BCE. That's exactly where the VAT4956 dating to 511 BCE would date it and it is exactly where the strict Biblical timeline would date it. It is also where the Egyptian timeline would date it based upon Amenhotep III being the pharaoh of the Exodus, and exactly where it would be dated based upon Kathleen Kenyon's dating for the fall of Jericho. ALL of this is being IGNORED in favor of an outdated timeline. That's why archaeologists are losing credibility. They have left behind their academic focus and become biased.

You mean like the New Kingdom of Egypt ruling all of Bibleland up to the Euphrates since before the bible has Abraham born until after Solomon is long dead? And you mean Solomon ruling from the river in Egypt to the Euphrates differs from the New Kingdom ruling from the river in Egypt to the Euphrates in what way? How is that for exposing the BS in the OT that purports to be history? Is that not the "prove it isn't true" you asked for?

NO. Those are YOUR assessments. I'm dealing just with the ARCHAEOLOGICAL evidence. Very simple. The Bible dates the Exodus to 1386 BCE. That means the fall of Jericho must be dated per the Bible to 1346 BCE. Kathleen Kenyon, an archaeologist who dug up Jericho dates the destruction of Jericho by the Israelites between 1350–1325 BCE. That's a match! Even if other archaeologists want to argue with her, that's nothing new. At least one prominent archaeologist is looking at this period for when the Israelites arrived. So that case is CLOSED.

Or the RC14 for Shishak's invasion. If you date the Exodus in 1386 BCE, then Shishak's invasion occurs in 871 BCE. The RC14 dating points specifically to that very date. So the EVIDENCE for the dating of that particular invasion gets confirmed.

Now sure, archaeologists haven't found that video made of the burning bush

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

yet,
but I'm not claiming I have evidence of that. I'm dealing with the
DISTORTION of
the evidence that we do have by current archaeologists based upon their own
biases.

However it is a science and the RULES of SCIENCE apply. That means without
physical evidence you don't have jack shit.

EXACTLY. I have RC14 evidence Shishak invaded in 871 BCE. That's what I
have!

What do you have? Why are you still dating it to 925 BCE or some other
date? Archaeologists
claim to follow the RULE of SCIENCE but they don't when push comes to shove.
Money
and politics or other biases rule the day, regardless of the evidence. You
tell me?

Guess what? the 763 BCE eclipse misdating dates Shishak's invasion in
925 BCE. It's off by 54 years. If you date the Assyrian Period to the
better eclipse in 709 BCE, then Shishak's invasion drops down 54 years to
871 BCE, which is PRECISELY where the SCIENCE dates that event!!
Archaeologists haven't figure it out YET!

You should write a paper on the subject to show where they are wrong and
make your reputation.

EASY. What's beautiful about astronomy and RC14 dating is there are limited
choices. Check out this quote regarding 763 BCE from Wikipaedia, just to
show
you how some have noticed things are not quite right:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/760s_BC

"June 15, 763 BC – A solar eclipse at this date (in month Sivan) is used to
fix the chronology of the Ancient Near East. However, it should be noted
that it requires Nisan 1 to fall on March 20, 763 BC, which was 8 to 9 days
before the vernal equinox (March 28/29 at that time) and Babylonians never
started their calendar year before the spring equinox. Main article:
Assyrian eclipse"

See? It's POORLY DATED in 763 BCE. But the 709 BCE eclipse fits the
standard dating for month 3!!

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

Science has an absolute requirement for physical evidence. Stories are worthless.

I KNOW. That's what I'm trying to say. RC14 dating for Rehov City IV is absolutely. It points to 871 BCE! But that is compared to the "stories" out there. The Bible is one of those stories. It dates this event in 871 BCE also. But the Greek story, that got revised, has manipulated the Assyrian timeline to reflect 925 BCE, which is too early. But archaeologists, too afraid or not knowledgeable enough about history buckle and ignore the evidence right before them. Some stories will work and some will not with the evidence! In this case, the timeline by Jehovah's witnesses who date Solomon 67 years earlier than the current popular timeline, and the current timeline itself are disproven by the RC14 dating. But not the strict Biblical timeline, which is right on the money. OR, if you prefer, not the timeline that would be adjusted if you used the VAT4956 to redate year 37 of Solomon to 511 BCE. Which eventually anthropologists will have to do anyway. It is THERE. So again, lots of EVIDENCE is ignored selectively by archaeologists to keep up their own ideas. It's always dark outside if you're eyes are closed.

You can't find a single mention of any David or Solomon or Moses or Abraham outside of the OT. Nor of Israel. Nor of Judea until the 2nd c. BC AFTER the Greek Septuagint appears.

I don't care. The Bible mentions Shishak's invasion and Shishak himself records that invasion in his inscription. Shishak doesn't mention the king ruling specifically, but we have a confirmation of this event. So you're nitpicking here. Shishak confirms the state of Israel at the time of the invasion as a place of many cities! He left an inscription at Megiddo! That invasion is RC14 dated by short-lived grains c. 871 BCE. So archaeologists need to date that invasion to 871 BCE with or without the Bible since Shishak's inscription records this event.

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

But they are not doing it. They are confused because 871 BCE occurs too late to dovetail with the revised Assyrian timeline that is misdated to the wrong eclipse. They don't know about astronomy and astronomical revisionism, so they are stuck. They are confused and don't know what to do. Professionally, they should just give the historians their dating references and let the historians sort out the historical implications.

Obviously the myth was created by the Septuagint as the first mention it is not the original comes centuries later.

You can't prove that, and it is ridiculous and against the principles already set out.

That is, archaeology is not designed to disprove what is not true. Because someone came along and picked up the gold a few Jews dropped while they were in the wilderness doesn't mean they never had any gold.

As far as Solomon being mentioned, most of our records are all WAR records. When people die, memorials and records are made. Solomon's rule was one of peace so what war records should there be during his rule? None. Other than Shishak's invasion, which happens at the very end of his rule and which we DO have a reference for!!!

But do you see how you have CHANGED the subject? You need to feel comfortable with something that disproves the Bible. That's fine. But other evidence that supports the Bible is being swept under the rug. You need to deal with that new evidence. Deal with the archaeological EVIDENCE in place already if you want to compare it to the Bible. What is not confirmed, too bad. You don't have to believe it. But right now, you do need to date Solomon to 910–870 BCE or be incompetent. In the meantime, you can't claim that invasion by Shishak didn't happen near the end of his rule, nor can you claim Solomon was not a great king who didn't build magnificent buildings. THAT part of the Bible is confirmed by the FACTS.

You obviously have no idea what science is. You are prattling on about trivia while not producing a single thing that is of any interest.

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

Not of interest to YOU, maybe. But YOU believe that Plato could have been consulted 3 years before he was born, right? That's the current academic timeline in place. So everybody is not that "bright" as far as seeing and interpreting something before their very eyes.

Why don't you comment on why you think Artaxerxes is buried in the wrong place?

I'm giving you specifics here. Why not comment on why Plato is consulted 3 years before he was born? Why don't you comment on why archaeologists are not using the RC14 dating from Rehov to date Shishak to 871 BCE? Let's deal with some real EVIDENTIARY issues here and get away from the philosophical.

What in the fuck ever gave you the idea that a "precise date" would magically make physical evidence of the OT suddenly appear?

WHAT? Are you saying you know MORE THAN ME about OT chronology. You don't, obviously. But I have my references and my preferences. Maybe you have yours. But what makes YOURS better than mine? The PRECISE date is a Biblical historical reference, not an archaeological issue. The fact that you don't realize that a "precise date" is extractable from the OT only reflects your lack of expertise, frankly. Why criticize me for my expertise in an area you are not expert in?

You will dislike it because you have no idea what science is and you have no idea what physical evidence is and you have no idea what the word proof means. And that is just for openers. You do not even have the slightest idea what evidence is as you run off about dates when that has nothing to do with people and events and kingdoms which is all that matters to any discussion of the OT.

Yeah. You TALK about what my response will be to so far a NON–EXISTENT response to anything I bring up. So why don't you actually post your REBUTTAL instead of claiming I'm too dumb to understand it? Because that's just a cop–out! BRING IT ON! It's not that difficult. Let me make it easy for you:

ARTAXERXES is buried between Darius I and Darius II in a tomb older than

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

Re: Naqshi–Rustam: When was Xerxes' fake tomb created?

his alleged father Xerxes is buried in. Now WHY do you think that is? What is your professional archaeological and scientific explanation of that? I'd like to know. Trust me. I can take it. I think I can grasp your reply as long as its in English.

So provide your EVIDENCE instead of just claiming you have it and what it will do. In other words, and I say this fondly: PUT UP, or SHUT UP. That's what this is about. I'm wrong about Naqshi–Rustam? Give me some academic response. Talk is cheap.

Thanks, again, for your interaction. But I'd love you to comment on:

1. Why Artaxerxes is buried in Xerxes' tomb.
2. Why Plato was consulted 3 years before he was born about a math problem.
3. Why don't archaeologists date Shishak's invasion in line with 871 BCE based on the RC14 dating?
4. Why two "errors" noted in the VAT4956 just happen to match up to the same date: 511 BCE?

LOVE to hear your views. Thanks.

Lars Wilson

.