

Re: "People first lived in Britain about 700,000 years ago" ?

Re: "People first lived in Britain about 700,000 years ago" ?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2008-03/msg00409.html>

- *From:* "bent" <bent@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 18 Mar 2008 17:33:15 -0400
-

Looks like there are actually more than 3 motors on the shuttle itself, but you get the idea:
<http://www.flickr.com/photos/trinity/170177/>

The whole package:

<http://ca.images.search.yahoo.com/search/images/view?back=http%3A%2F%2Fca.images.search.yahoo.com%2Fsearch>

Wow, a search of "space shuttle", images only, gives some very nice photography.

btw, being pertinent, I am beginning to understand the emphasis of the reference quote, but lets not get into details now (both sides).

One of the recent posts above mention the term subspecies. I think theres a lot of people right on track still wrt the original post (by me). I am just happily tagging along, but if anyone can imagine what my state of confusion is regarding how this species linkage works, please do expand if simple enough. Hate to push nmy luck. (wish I had that ref now) but the jist of it is like this (laymans terms): I was originally wondering what people were; now theres a comment on the 100,000 yr ago exodus of Homo Sapiens out of Africa. Then theres the comment on Homo Sapiens possibly existing in Africa 196,000 yrs ago. So far I am not confused, but I will be digging into these links more soon. I am not sure if the following is established science yet, or literally even a question. e.g there cannot be two species existing at any one point in time that both contributed to our current embodiment. Never the same. Never leading in a ny way to us: so there is a route. iow all others don't count as "us". But theres just so many (intriguing) names and to me, unknowns thrown around, I get lost, and I am not learning about this specific quest I started – soon I'll be on my own, don't worry. Looking at the evolutionary "tree" as a traingle it can either be oriented with the peak (one) at the top and the many at the bottom, or the many on the top, and the one at the bottom. Where did we come from? Maybe the term subspecies could be used. Because can a being (thing) suddenly appear out of nowhere? A long time ago, 4 billion years, I'll admit it wasn't one thing, but there were conditions condusive to this specfic start. Is this still a question? I don't know if this (maybe the term subspecies ofHomo sapiens) is a cop-out. Is there a rule about this: how can I think of something being related to, but not really being a member of "people"? While I want to learn about everything, I want to know "us" and how to get there. What exactly does it mean to be a sub-species. Does one say I don't know, we're just playing around with ideas here, or is there a rule, and is it proven empirically?

"JTEM" <jtem01@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

Matt Giwer <jul...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Re: "People first lived in Britain about 700,000 years ago" ?

Re: "People first lived in Britain about 700,000 years ago" ?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com – Unlimited–Unrestricted–Secure Usenet News==-----
<http://www.newsfeeds.com> The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
-----= East and West–Coast Server Farms – Total Privacy via Encryption =-----