

Re: In the sci.arch Archives is it usual to get 237 Messages into a Thread?

## Re: In the sci.arch Archives is it usual to get 237 Messages into a Thread?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2008-04/msg00481.html>

---

- *From:* Peter Alaca <p.alaca@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Thu, 24 Apr 2008 18:56:30 +0200
- 

David wrote: on, 24/04/2008 18:49:

On Apr 24, 11:29 am, grapheus <graph...@xxxxxxx> wrote:

...  
Yes, but it is well-known that changing the title of a thread is a vivious tactics for trying to "kill" it by people who are not happy with it and are sorry that so many people are interested by the subject...  
...

I agree with you in general about changing the thread title.

So why do you changing subjectlines all the time?

If you review all the threads last summer re: Mehrgarh; few sci.archers, if any, had any genuine interest in its archaeology. None followed up on Lukacs' dental work which shows that the first Mehrgarhans came from the East, not overland from the West.

You are a liar. I posted a lot of material about Pakistan and northern India. But that was not what you wanted to hear. Since nobody is interested in what you have to say, there is no sense in trying to start all over again. Study the old thread(s) carefully.

.