

Re: New research forces U–turn in population migration theory

# Re: New research forces U–turn in population migration theory

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2008–05/msg00693.html>

---

- *From:* Peter Alaca <p.alaca@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sat, 24 May 2008 22:52:08 +0200
- 

Jack Linthicum wrote, 24/05/2008 22:42:

On May 24, 4:37 pm, Peter Alaca <p.al...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Jack Linthicum wrote, 24/05/2008 22:18:

On May 24, 4:02 pm, Peter Alaca  
<p.al...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

David wrote, 24/05/2008 20:10:

On May 24, 1:16 pm, Peter  
Alaca  
<p.al...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
wrote:

David  
wrote,  
24/05/2008  
18:35:

On  
May  
24,  
11:44  
am,  
Peter  
Alaca  
<p.al...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
wrote:

David  
wrote,  
24/05/2008  
17:34:>[http://www.eurekalert.org/pub\\_releases/2008](http://www.eurekalert.org/pub_releases/2008).

Re: New research forces U–turn in population migration theory

"Research  
led  
by  
the  
University  
of  
Leeds  
has  
discovered  
genetic  
evidence  
that  
overturns  
existing  
theories  
about  
human  
migration  
into  
Island  
Southeast  
Asia  
(covering  
the  
Philippines,  
Indonesia  
and  
Malaysian  
Borneo)  
–  
taking  
the  
timeline  
back  
by  
nearly  
10,000  
years."  
d.c.

That  
is  
what  
Jack  
said.

I  
am  
happy  
you  
take

Re: New research forces U–turn in population migration theory

Re: New research forces U–turn in population migration theory

your  
valuable  
time  
to  
track  
every  
move  
I  
make.

No need to  
track you  
down.  
Unlike you  
I use a  
decent  
newsreader.

I have a Google Group  
account.

That's what I said.

Why did  
you repeat  
Jack's  
contribution?

Why do you ask such  
questions when you can  
read between the lines?  
Clearly, I did not check  
whether ANYBODY, let  
alone Jack, had got there  
earlier with the same link.  
Why would you expect me  
to do so?

You posted it in the same thread  
in reply to Jack's post

As a possible explanation, I have noticed at least two  
situations this  
past week where I have posted what I thought was an  
original thread  
only to find it part of another thread which involved the same  
original source. Either newsgroups or Google is getting tired  
of  
multiple threads or the Internet is starting to think for itself.

Re: New research forces U–turn in population migration theory

Only if exactly the same title is used that is possible.  
It happened to me once with a thread which was out of sight  
for months.  
But in any case The Carl did not read what was posted  
only 17 hours earlier.

—  
p.a.

That sounds right. I usually use the title from the source.

Like everyone else does. That's why such titles  
(and the same content) are all over the net. :-)

—  
p.a.

.