

# Re: Climate Change Not The Cause of Neanderthal Extinction

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2009-01/msg00102.html>

---

- *From:* "Steve Marcus" <[smarcus\\_spamout @xxxxxxx](mailto:smarcus_spamout@xxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 6 Jan 2009 16:40:09 -0500
- 

"David" <[pchristainsen@xxxxxxxx](mailto:pchristainsen@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:b8589dcb-fa33-400d-8078-9bada9ccee62@xx](mailto:news:b8589dcb-fa33-400d-8078-9bada9ccee62@xx)  
On Jan 6, 5:42 am, Paul Murray <[p...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:p...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On 2009-01-05, David <[pchristain...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:pchristain...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:> On Jan 5, 10:45 am,  
Peter Alaca <[p.al...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:p.al...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

That is because you are not interested in archaeology,  
not in sci.archaeology or in any other group you post to,  
not in other people, en not in what other people write.  
You are only interested in yourself.

THREADS –

"Top 8 of 2008: Archaeological Discoveries Related  
to the Bible"  
"Thiering Plan of Qumran"

[snip]

So what?

All you do is set some sort of Google alert for news stories  
vaguely connected to archaeology, then post them here with no  
commentary or value added.

All you are interested in is seeing your name again and again  
in the post list, so that you can later (as you did here) claim  
that this somehow makes you worthwhile.

David Christainsen the replied: Go to the back of the bus with the silly  
freaks and the newagers...

Paul Murray accused you of simply reposting, without any added value, news  
stories having vague connections to archaeology, so that you can see your

## Re: Climate Change Not The Cause of Neanderthal Extinction

name again and again. He further supplied a motive for that conduct: egoism ("excessive concern for oneself with or without exaggerated feelings of self-importance").

You do realize, David, that every time you blow off those type of accusations in the way that you blew off Paul's post, you dig yourself deeper into the cemetery of "Zero Credibility"? As important as thinking that you are smart, important and correct is to you, one would think that you would want to avoid this sort of behavior, which makes you look stupid, unimportant and generally wrong.

Just some constructive criticism ...

Steve

—

The above posting is neither a legal opinion nor legal advice, because we do not have an attorney-client relationship, and should not be construed as either. This posting does not represent the opinion of my employer, but is merely my personal view. To reply, delete \_spamout\_ and replace with the numeral 3

.