

## Re: The Cost of Relativity

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2004-07/4029.html>

---

**From:** AstronomyWanaB ([sntp\\_at\\_hotmail.com](mailto:sntp_at_hotmail.com))

**Date:** 07/27/04

Date: Tue, 27 Jul 2004 18:59:00 GMT

>> *My guess is no. Had he used relativity, the quality of the picture would  
>> have as bad as modern televisions. Haven't you noticed that technology  
>> peaked in the 1920's and 1930's and since that time televisions have  
>> gotten worse, the space program went down hill, receivers can no longer  
>> receive satellite signals, mri scanners lie in a state of disrepair,  
>> computers have slowed down to a crawl, oscilloscopes with the precision  
>> and utility of the tek 511 have been replaced by slipshod knockoffs like  
>> the TDS3000B. It's just awful. Oh, what I wouldn't give for a real  
>> television set with a steel case full of vacuum tubes, mechanical contact  
>> tuners, 20 lb high voltage transformers and a floating chassis all sitting  
>> behind a piece of glass spanning a good chunk of the forward 2 pi  
>> solid angle.*  
>>  
>> *Come to think of, I'll bet your pappy never once mentioned a satellite  
>> failure all the through the 1950's. After that, the decline in computing  
>> power coupled with the declining quality of electronics test equipment  
>> made every satellite a crap shoot. Yes, I can see why you pine for  
>> the good old days a revel in the past. Yes, it's remarkable just how  
>> much technology has disintegrated.*  
>

The morons and idiots just keep piling up like horse manure at churchill downs here.